

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
MINUTES – March 14, 2017**

**Mayor Pro Tem Majikes called the Council meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.**

**Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag:**

**ROLL CALL:**

Present: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

Absent: Veenbaas

**Staff Members Present:** City Manager Barry Krumstok, Deputy City Clerk Ginny Cotugno, Assistant to the City Manager Lori Ciezak, Community Development Director Valerie Dehner, Finance Director Melissa Gallagher, Fire Chief Scott Franzgrote, Police Chief John Nowacki, Public Works Director Fred Vogt, City Attorney Jim Macholl

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the following statement:

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE ARE REMINDED THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING VIDEO TAPED FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BROADCAST OVER THE CITY'S CABLE TELEVISION CHANNEL.

**MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES:**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: The first item on the agenda this evening is a motion to approve the minutes from the February 21<sup>st</sup> Committee-of-the-Whole Meeting and the February 28<sup>th</sup> Council meeting. Is there such a motion? Alderman D' Astice made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Cannon. Are there any additions, deletions, or corrections to the minutes? Seeing none the question is shall the minutes be approved? All those in favor please signify by saying aye; those opposed? The ayes have it and the minutes are approved.

**MOTION TO DEVIATE:**

**None**

**MAYOR'S REPORT:**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: As Alderman I have a couple of reports. One, I've talked to a resident that had difficulty with a contractor that took her money and didn't do the best quality of work and everything so I just want to put out there that anybody that is looking to have work done on their house to please be thorough in checking the credentials, the referrals of the companies that you're working with because unfortunately there are people that take advantage and don't do what they say they'll do. And then besides that I wanted to say thank you to Lori Ciezak for a wonderful Taste the Town. My husband and I got to volunteer and it was awesome and also the Dr. Seuss at the Library, the birthday celebration, had a gas. As usual Alderman Banger and I were laughing. It was very fun. A good time was had.

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 2**

**WARD REPORTS:**

Mayor Protem Majikes: Are there any Ward reports? Alderman Prejna

**Ward 2:**

Alderman Prejna: I will just second since we were both at those events and this year I got the short story instead of the long story so thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: You did a good job. Any further? Alderman Banger

**Ward 5:**

Alderman Banger: Thirds I guess for the Taste the Town and the Library Dr. Seuss reading. Also not from my Ward 5 Chair, but from the City Council Liaison to the Library Chair, I wanted to express a heartfelt thank you from the Library Board. We had our meeting last Tuesday and they, I think they actually made a motion and all said thank you for the City Council for waiving permit fees so I just wanted to pass that on to my colleagues here.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Any further? Alderman D' Astice

**Ward 6:**

Alderman D' Astice: Yes, for, there are several residents in the 6<sup>th</sup> Ward that are concerned about a vacant home and I just want you to know that there is progress being made. If you want to refer to the Weekly minutes last week you can read in detail where we are with that so just to let you know that there is some progress being made on that.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Any further Ward Reports? Okay, so we'll move along then.

**MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR 20 MINUTES:**

No one came forward.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes closed the floor.

➤ **PENDING**

**A. Ordinance No. 17-07 / Approve a Sign Appeal for Rolling Meadows Shopping Center (Anytime Fitness, GEM Fitness LLC, Gill Valerio Jr., Petitioner (2<sup>nd</sup> Reading)**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to adopt the ordinance and it was seconded by Alderman Banger.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there any discussion on the ordinance? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The ordinance was adopted.

**B. Ordinance No. 17-08 / Amend Pawn Shop Ordinance (2<sup>nd</sup> Reading)**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman Cannon made a motion to adopt the ordinance and it was seconded by Alderman D'Astice.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there any discussion on the ordinance? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The ordinance was adopted.

➤ **CONSENT ORDINANCES:**

**C. Ordinance / Reserve Volume Cap (1<sup>st</sup> Reading)**

**D. Ordinance / Amend Liquor Code – Class “B” Hours (1<sup>st</sup> Reading)**

**E. Ordinance / Authorize Disposal of Surplus Equipment (1<sup>st</sup> Reading)**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: That brings the council to the consent ordinances for this evening. There are three of them that could be grouped together in a Consent Agenda if the council so wishes. They are Items C through E. Would any alderman like any of those items removed from the Consent Agenda?  
Alderman Judd

Alderman Judd: D

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: D, okay. So then we can just do C and E together, correct?

Ms. Cotugno: Yes

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Okay.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the background on the remaining resolutions.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there a motion to move these ordinances forward for 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading.  
Alderman Banger made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon. The question is shall both ordinances be moved forward for 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading? The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The Clerk will move the ordinances forward for 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading.

**D. Ordinance / Amend Liquor Code – Class “B” Hours (1<sup>st</sup> Reading)**

Mayor Protem Majikes read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman Cannon made a motion to move this ordinance forward for 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading and it was seconded by D’Astice.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Alderman Judd you asked that this ordinance be pulled. You’re entitled to first comments.

Alderman Judd: I just wasn’t at the COW meeting when this was brought up and I actually asked that it be put on so I just wanted to make a couple quick comments that I’m all in favor of this. I think it’s a good thing for any of these people that have these liquor licenses to be put on a little bit more of a level playing field with the communities around us. They shouldn’t be at a disadvantage. I think that this helps them get at least on a level playing field and so hopefully this will benefit them and ultimately benefit the City as well. I support it. I’m big time in favor of it. Thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Any further discussion? No, okay. So will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D’Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The Clerk will move the ordinance forward for 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading.

➤ **NEW BUSINESS:**

**F. MOTION TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF BILLS ON WARRANT 3-14-17.**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there a motion to approve the March 14, 2017 Warrant as presented by the Finance Department? Alderman Cannon made the motion and it has been seconded. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D’Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The warrant was approved.

➤ **CONSENT RESOLUTIONS:**

**G. Resolution No. 17-R-21 / Award Contract for Gateway Park Improvements**

**H. Resolution No. 17-R-22 / Award Contract for City Hall Exterior Renovations**

**I. Resolution No. 17-R-23 / Award Contract for 2017 Street Program**

**J. Resolution No. 17-R-24 / Award Contract for City Engineering Services for 2017 Street Program**

- K. Resolution No. 17-R-25 / Award Contract for Arlingdale Drive Reconstruction Project**
- L. Resolution No. 17-R-26 / Award Contract for Engineering Services for Arlingdale Drive Reconstruction Project**
- M. Resolution No. 17-R-27 / Authorize Submittal of Transportation Grant Request to Cook County**
- N. Resolution No. 17-R-28 / Approve Change Order #1 for 2017 Sidewalk & Curb Replacement Contract**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: That brings the council to the resolutions for this evening. There are eight of them that could be grouped together in a Consent Agenda if the council so wishes. They are Items G through N. Would any alderman like any of those items removed from the Consent Agenda? Alderman Cannon.

Alderman Cannon: G & H

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Okay, G & H. Any others? No, okay, so G & H.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the background on the resolutions.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there a motion to approve the six resolutions. Alderman D' Astice has made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman Banger. Again, the question is shall all six of these resolutions be adopted. The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The resolutions were adopted.

### **G. Resolution No. 17-R-21 / Award Contract for Gateway Park Improvements**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes read the background on the resolution.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Is there a motion to approve the resolution? Alderman D' Astice made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman Banger. Alderman Cannon you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman Cannon: We talked about this last year. We had set a budget in the high 80's and this came in way over that without even getting all the work done, so I cannot support it in its present fashion. I think it would be good to do some upgrades there, but at this price point I think its way out of line for what we talked about originally. I guess the other question I would ask Ms. Mayor is also there's two things on there that really confused me. And I'm sure there's an easy explanation but I just didn't know what the answer was. On the bid our contractor said he's going to cut the trees down but not take the stumps out. Or we would take the stumps out. Excuse me, we would cut the trees down, he would take the stumps out. What confused me is when we do our own trees in town we rent a stump remover like once every two, three months, take out all the stumps. In light of the fact that this is way over the thing, I don't understand why we would spend 2,000 when we do that work usually ourselves and the second part of that also there's 5,000 dollars in this budget for hydro seeding. We just approved a brand new hydro seeder a few months ago. I don't understand why we would support an outside guy, or company

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 6**

coming in here and spending 5,000 dollars when we had that equipment in house. So again, maybe there's an explanation. It just kind of flew over my head. The other thing is I think we just need to cut back on some of these things. Obviously we need electricity down there so I'm in support of that, but I mean the way this is structured right now I don't know if the architect who drew up the plans didn't realize what our budget was or he just kind of threw it to the side, but we're looking at over 200,000 dollars to do this thing and our budget was 85. To me it's just unacceptable in its present fashion. Again, I'm for the idea of doing some upgrades there, but not in this fashion. Thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Are there other comments? Alderman Judd

Alderman Judd: I'm in the same camp as well. I understand that we all kind of wanted some things done there, wanted some changes. We allocated some money. I'm not exactly sure where we all kind of fell. I know I wasn't super enamored with the 85 to begin with, but we're talking at least a 100,000 not counting whatever the staff time is going to be so at this juncture this isn't something I can support. Thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Any other further comments? Alderman Banger

Alderman Banger: I'm in the same camp as Cannon and Judd. This was so much over budget and if I was thinking of spending that much money, boy we'd probably get another cul-de-sac street resurfaced so number one just don't spend the money, number two put it in the streets program if we've got this much so I'm not going to support it either. Thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Any further comments? Alderman D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: Thank you Madame Mayor Protem. I am in agreement too. I'm reading 150,000 and I know we said like 80 to 100,000 so to me this is way over the budget and I will not support this.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Barry, can I ask you?

Mr. Krumstok: You can always ask away.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Did we give the, we gave them specifications that we talked about.

Mr. Krumstok: Yeah and I'm going to defer back to Public Works Director Fred Vogt because he knows more of the history on phase 1 and how it's being broken up and how it was actually bid out.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Vogt: When we had discussions some time ago, probably last year about Gateway Park we bought some plans forward. Staff and City Council identified things we would like to see improved there. We recognized early on just given that there was also a plan for parking stalls and the like to be had that we broke it into two phases. The parking got pulled out. We figured that we'd look at that later. That would be too costly for the 85,000 in the budget. I think pretty much the rest of the work we put into the bid packet to get pricing on it recognizing that the bids may well come in higher than what we budgeted but staff put this recommendation together to both stay within budget and to see what other work we could do in house as time allows to try to keep those costs down. If the City Council wants to defer the project in its entirety vs. doing some of the work contractually and maybe even splitting it up into a 3<sup>rd</sup> phase that's certainly the pleasure of the council to do that and we will go along with whatever you wish us to do at this point..

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 7**

Mayor Protem Majikes: Fred, can some of the items that Alderman Cannon bought up be done by Public Works? The stump removal? The hydro seeding?

Mr. Vogt: Certainly if that's the wish to delete those items from the bid, those are things that we could do in-house if the council wishes us to do that.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Okay, any further? Alderman Cannon

Alderman Cannon: Mr. Vogt, I was wondering if I could ask a question. Is it possible that maybe you could come back to us in a couple of weeks and say if we had to prioritize some of the things that are like must do's, I know Don from your Department had mentioned specifically the electricity is a mess down there. It seems to be that would be prudent to look into that and spend 5 or 6,000 dollars or whatever it costs to fix that up so it's in working order because I know at night it's kind of a dim lit area back there so maybe if you could come back with just a reduced amount of things we could do at a reduced budget. I guess that's what I'm asking you if you could do that and I'm not going to try to put a timeframe on it. I know you guys have a lot going on in your Department so whenever you get to it I would appreciate that.

Mr. Vogt: We certainly can do that and kind of prep this any way the council wishes in that regard whether it's a budgetary target, whether it's just certain items to do this year and put off other ones next year. We can put kind of a prioritization together in probably a couple of weeks at a future Council meeting or at a Committee meeting and talk it over. There's certainly things that could be prioritized as long as we don't reject the bids specific tonight it would still allow us to work with the contractor if I understand that right. Defer this rather than to reject the bids outright.

Alderman Cannon: So are we voting on the bids or, I thought you were voting on the bid.

Mr. Vogt: I'm just concerned that if the bids would be rejected or not approved that may and maybe it's a legal question with regards to working with the low bidder to price things out or to break up the contract into segments. Not always will contractors choose to be willing to work with us to delete things. Sometimes they are depending on how badly they want the job or where they put their costs so it would at least give us some flexibility if this was deferred to continue the dialogue with the contractor and with the architect for that matter.

Mr. Krumstok: I know that the City attorney just moved up there, but I think what Fred is really trying to say is if you can table this, allow us to go back to the contractor and talk to them and that would give a little more flexibility for the staff to deal with this and hopefully when we come back for the meeting on the 28<sup>th</sup> we would have some additional conversations or we would have some additional information. So where Fred is actually mentioning about deferring, we would just say table it for this meeting.

Alderman Cannon: Okay, I was speaking for myself. I'm fine with that, but I really don't want this to come back anywhere close to the form that it's in now. Just so, I'm not trying to be angry about it, but it's just so far out of line. I don't want you to think that we're going to approve it where it's at right now even if you break it up into pieces. Okay, thank you.

Mr. Macholl: So you want to make a motion to postpone until the 28<sup>th</sup>?

Alderman Cannon: Sure, I'll make that motion.

Mr. Macholl: Is there a second?

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 8**

Alderman Prejna: I'll second it.

Mr. Macholl: Discussion on the motion?

Alderman D'Astice: Yes, is staff absolutely clear? Do they know what council is looking for? I'd like to just have someone repeat back what the understanding is because I don't want to come back on the 28<sup>th</sup> and we go through this all again. So I just want to make sure in my mind that I understand that staff is clear on what council is looking for.

Mr. Krumstok: I guess my clarification and obviously Fred is looking at me too, would be that we're rescrubbing the numbers, but also pulling out certain things that we think like the seeding, obviously some of the landscaping overall because trees and some of the other pieces, but we're going to relook at some of the other items and then we're going to prioritize some of the work. So again, if we think we can do some of the electric as we stated in the report maybe that just needs to be spelled out, but we'll relook at all this and then reevaluate some of the pieces.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Other comments? So I need a motion to postpone? So will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas.

The Resolution was postponed until March 28<sup>th</sup>.

**H. Resolution No. 17-R-22 / Award Contract for City Hall Exterior Renovations**

Mayor Protem Majikes read the background on the resolution.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Is there a motion to approve the resolution? Alderman Banger has made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman D'Astice. Alderman Cannon you asked that this be pulled.

Alderman Cannon: I guess I would ask either Barry or Fred just to kind of go over what we're approving here. This is a big amount of money. I'm generally for this because I've been pushing for this the last few years. I don't know if I misread things or I just don't have enough information, but I guess I would like if someone could give us an overview of what we're actually approving tonight, if that's possible?

Mayor Protem Majikes: May I ask, Alderman Cannon, do you have a copy of this?

Alderman Cannon: I do.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Just wanted to make sure you do.

Alderman Cannon: Thank you, I do. I would just like a little bit better explanation.

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 9**

Mayor Protem Majikes: Mr. Vogt could you help us out here?

Mr. Vogt: I guess I should ask first does everyone have a copy of this or just at this point? This is some additional information that I can go through verbally for the benefit of the council. The exterior renovations is proposed both to the City Hall and the Police Garage. From a masonry restoration cleaning standpoint would be to replace all the damaged bricks, tuck-pointing the mortar joints, seal all joints, repair any damaged masonry, reinforce and anchor, flashpoints replacement, clean the masonry and stucco surfaces and install moisture repellent coating per the specifications. For the metal panels on City Hall building is to remove all the facet, soffit, flashing and install installation and vapor retardant on the exterior wall, ceiling, and roof construction, install wood blocking and curbing, install new metal wall panel, seal all the joints, and install sheet metal flashing and trim. Again this is for the City Hall top level. For the Police Department the garage, the steel doors and frames as proposed to remove the exterior/interior glass doors at the rear entrance. Install new steel frames, realign them. Install new thermo insulated steel doors and hardware. Install brick to match existing and paint frames to match existing. And lastly for the garage that's separate from the City Hall, the overhead doors are to be removed including the sally port which is with the City Hall building. Remove the masonry piers, concrete foundations between the six garage doors and enlarge the openings, including new steel header beams to create three double doors when complete. Install eight new metal garage doors and hardware. Install parking guidance system and install electrical provisions for door operators. So that is as best as we can summarize it at this point.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Thank you. Alderman Cannon?

Alderman Cannon: Thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Any further discussion? Alderman Judd

Alderman Judd: Thank you Madame Mayor. I'm in favor of the vast bulk of what you talked about. The only thing I find interesting is when I look at the alternatives. Alternative Number 2 says all work on overhead garage door replacements and the firm we're picking basically quoted 136K vs. a lot of the other firms, 77, 85, and 48. I would be interested in pulling Number Two out and just rebidding that to somebody else and let this guy do 3, 4 and the base bid and if that's something that can be done I'll make a motion to it and I would approve that, but if it's not then I'm not going to approve it. I think that, I understand what you're doing and you want to remove the beams and put doors in and stuff like that, but obviously these other guys can do it substantially cheaper so even if you bid it out straight out by somebody else and its 90,000 we still save 45 grand so if we can do that I'm interested in it and I'll support it, but if not then I'm going to go against so I'll leave that up to you Fred as to what you, how you want to direct us on that.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Mr. Vogt

Mr. Vogt: Thank you Mayor. The only comment I would offer certainly we can do as Alderman Judd suggests to pull that out, not award that alternate, advertise it for bids and see what pricing we get. Looking at the price comparison I can see that because that's the second highest, but knowing that the lowest alternate there was from a contractor that was deemed not acceptable and qualified by the architect. Yeah we may get bids that would reflect some of the lower priced alternate number 2 from the other bidders, but there's nothing that assures us that we will. I just caution the council that when you put that out separately some of the money that contractors look to make in terms of how they price their alternates, how they price their work, depends on whether they're doing the work, whether they're going

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 10**

to subcontract that out so we're certainly happy to go ahead and rebid it if that's the wish of the council, but it does come with some reservations. Thank you.

Alderman Judd: I guess the question I have Fred is would you prefer to rebid the entire thing and just, if the council, however votes would you prefer just to make a motion to pull alternative two out and just bid that separately? I'll yield either way you want. I don't care. I can vote no on the whole thing and I don't know what the rest of the council will do, or my preference is just to make the motion to pull alternative two out. Again, that might not get council support, but I'm just looking for your direction on how you'd prefer to handle it.

Mr. Vogt: Given that the pricing overall with the alternatives is considerably under what staff expected the pricing to be, I would prefer to stick with what our recommendation is which is to approve the base bid and the alternates, but again if the council wishes to direct us otherwise we certainly can bid the garage door work and all the masonry work that goes with it separately and see what pricing we get.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Barry?

Mr. Krumstok: I think again, as Alderman Judd has eluded to his preference would be to make a motion to remove alternate two and I would actually state to council if we could vote on that because again what you have in front of you is the full packet what staff felt comfortable with, but if you can do that motion and that falls out then we understand what it is and we would go back because again even with this resolution if you keep alternates three and four now that new number comes down to 345,500, but for us on staff what we're trying to say is we prefer to keep it all together, but we understand and I think Alderman Judd has made the comment and not a motion yet, but I think that's what he's moving to that he'd prefer that staff go back and say number two would like to go back out, so.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Okay, any further comments? Alderman Cannon

Alderman Cannon: Mr. Macholl, I was wondering if you could just enlighten us relative to this bid process. Is it possible for us to go back to any of the vendors in this thing and say we would like to take part of your bid? Is that legal? I don't know what those ramifications may or may not be.

Mr. Macholl: Well, you can always go back to the bidder and renegotiate since it's the lowest bidder and see what you can do.

Cannon: Can we legally go back to the other people and say we want this part of your bid.

Mr. Macholl: No, you'd have to start over.

Alderman Cannon: I didn't think so but I thought I'd ask.

Mr. Macholl: You'd have to start over.

Alderman Cannon: Okay, thank you.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Alderman Prejna?

Alderman Prejna: Couple points. From what Fred mentioned in discussing this is there are other vendors who would have a lower price but our architect is rejecting them because either the quality or

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 11**

the problems that we could have so you'd go with a lower price, but it may cost us money down the road and the fact that its put together as a package, it's one contractor overseeing everything am I correct?

Mr. Vogt: Yes

Alderman Prejna: So we're under budget. I understand what Alderman Judd is saying, but there's also the other factor that you bought up is that we can go out for bid but there's no guarantee that we may get that price or have the quality or it could be accepted by the architect correct?

Mr. Vogt: We would have to, or my recommendation certainly would be if the direction is to rebid the garage door, eliminate alternate two as it's known here, that we would ask the architect to put a bid package together separately for that and then advertise it much the same we would anything else that's a standalone.

Mr. Prejna: But your preference is to stay with the package that staff has....

Mr. Vogt: That's what staff is recommending. That is what the architect is recommending. That's where we stand right now is award it as a package is the recommendation.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Alderman D' Astice

Alderman D' Astice: I think, maybe you could clarify something. I think the architect said he did not recommend the lowest bidder for quality not all the bidders, just the lowest bidder so and then Mr. Macholl can you clarify for me. Can we go back to the recommended bidder, ATP and negotiate alternate two with them?

Mr. Macholl: Yes

Alderman D' Astice: So, that being the case, do we, would the council approve this with alternate two out or approve it contingent on renegotiating alternate 2 and then coming back and talking to us?

Mr. Macholl: I would approve it with currently alternate two out subject to renegotiating, but I would also like to add I think the architects comment was the lowest bidder didn't have the experience not didn't have the quality. Just to clarify that for everybody.

Alderman D' Astice: So then that being the case I would make a motion that we remove Alternate Two and instruct staff to try to renegotiate that with the ATP organization and then come back to council with the renegotiated bid and if that's acceptable then we can accept it. If not then it's approved with the Alternate Two out and we can rebid it out to the lowest bidder.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Any further comments?

Alderman Judd: I'll second that motion.

Mayor Protem Majikes: So I guess then we would just make the amendment to state that Alternate Two, to accept the bid so we'll authorize the contract for the renovation of the exterior of the City Hall Campus with Alternate Two being renegotiated correct?

Ms. Cotugno: Yes

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 12**

Mayor Protem Majikes: Okay. Do I need a....

Mr. Krumstok: You need to take a vote on that one.

Mayor Protem Majikes: Will the Clerk please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The resolution was amended.

Ms. Cotugno: Now you have to have discussion on the resolution as amended.

Acting Mayor Majikes: Okay, are there any further discussions now on the amended version of the resolution? Nope, okay we're good. Then we will take a vote. Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The resolution was adopted as amended.

**OTHER BUSINESS & REPORTS:**

**Mayor's Appointments:** None

**Mayor's Proclamations:** None

**City Clerk's Report:** Presented deadlines for the upcoming April 4<sup>th</sup> Election.

**City Staff Reports:**

**1) Community Items of Interest**

- 1) The last day to register to vote by online registration is March 19<sup>th</sup>.
- 2) Thank you to everyone who read at this year's Annual Dr. Seuss Celebration at the Library conducted on Saturday, March 4<sup>th</sup>.
- 3) Thank you to everyone who volunteered and attended the March 4<sup>th</sup> Taste of the Town event. We hope to see you next year!
- 4) March 22<sup>nd</sup> – Palatine Area League of Women Voters Candidate Forum (all candidates for Mayor, Ward 2, Ward 4 and Ward 6) at the Rolling Meadows Public Library starting at 6:30 pm.

- 5) On March 30<sup>th</sup> starting at 6:00 pm at the Library the Garden Club will be conducting a Seed Swap. All are welcome to attend.
- 6) Just a friendly reminder, yard waste collection starts on Monday, April 3<sup>rd</sup>. In addition, please remember that this also marks the end of early refuse set out, which is allowed by ordinance from November 1<sup>st</sup> to March 31<sup>st</sup>. Beginning Monday, April 3<sup>rd</sup>, residents should place refuse and recyclables at the curb after 6:00 pm the night before scheduled pick-up. For more information, please contact Public Works at 847-963-0500 or visit [www.cityrm.org/PublicWorks](http://www.cityrm.org/PublicWorks)
- 7) Thank Public Works and obviously through the State we sent down Public Works employees to help out on the cleanup for the recent disaster area. We were down there on Friday, Monday, and Tuesday and again another experience of working emergency management and also helping out another community.

## **2) Gateway Park Sign Cost**

Mr. Vogt: Staff was requested to look into options, costs for a potential second community sign at Gateway Park. We contacted our vendor that installed the sign that's out here in front of City Hall to develop pricing and style with the intent that it would be more like a ground level sign so we have two options that we can present to the council. One, the cheaper option, Option 1 in the report is to use, re-use the old sign that had been installed here on Owl Lane for the Police Department some years ago. It's been in storage. It looks fairly similar to our entry markers and the entry marker in particular at John Woods Park East coming off of the 53 ramp. To electrify that, put in an LED illuminate sign, all other electric and the like and restructure that. The cost estimate is 14,118.00. Second option is to basically start from scratch. Install a new ground level monument sign similar in some features to the new sign that was just purchased and installed in front of City Hall, but again to install it with a ground level given the neighborhood and the conditions that are over there. So in short that option would cost estimated 27,603.00. Just one comment to make from staff that should council wish to proceed or give an indication of wanting to proceed with this this year or some future year, it would be very helpful when looking at the electric improvements that we will at some point be making in there to take that into account to run the proper conduits and wiring for a sign, even if it isn't installed right away, but you would certainly be wise to do that to try to be more cost efficient then to have to start over and make further improvements later. So that's where the report is right now and just await further direction from council.

## **3) Presentation of the March 21<sup>st</sup> Committee-of-the-Whole Agenda.**

Mr. Krumstok presented the March 21, 2017 Committee-of-the-Whole agenda.

### **MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA:**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Are there Matters Not on the Agenda this evening? Alderman Cannon

Alderman Cannon: I just want to kind of do a shout out to the Public Works Department. Rob Horne is here tonight. Fred is here. I guess probably Bill Suchecki. You guys did a fabulous job in getting the Street Program up and running early this year which I am very grateful. I think our citizens should be

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 14**

aware that we should be able to do more streets this year or next year or both because of the hard work our engineering department and streets department did so I'm looking forward to what your proposal will be. What we'll add this year I hope. So looking forward to that. So thank you very much for your hard work.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: Any further? Alderman D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: The electronic message sign for Gateway Park, is that going to appear on a future Committee-of-the-Whole meeting or are we just going to throw it out here now and let it die?

Mr. Krumstok: Our plan was to put it out as a staff report. As we discussed here if we were going to hear any comments from the council but also put it on a future COW.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay

Mr. Krumstok: We were asked to give you some numbers and that's what we did.

Alderman D'Astice: So it will come up on a future Committee-of-the-Whole meeting that we can discuss?

Mr. Krumstok: Yes

Alderman D'Astice: Thank you.

Mayor Majikes: Any further? I just want to quickly say a quick thank you to Public Works also. Good job on the streets with this major snow storm that we had and I'm sure that you guys are happy to get rid of some of the salt.

Mr. Vogt: We'll always find a place to store it if we don't put it on the streets.

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: That's good. So thank you very much. Any further? No, okay.

**CLOSED SESSION:**

**1) Land Acquisition – 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (5) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act**

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: There is a request to go into Closed Session this evening for Land Acquisition which falls under 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (5) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act. It does require a motion and a roll call vote. Is there such a motion? Alderman Banger made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman Prejna. Is there any discussion? Seeing none the question is shall the council go into Closed Session for the above purpose? The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

Mayor Pro Tem Majikes: With 6 in favor and none opposed, the Council will now enter Closed Session. The press and audience are advised that we do not plan on taking any action upon returning to Open Session.

**City Council Meeting**

**March 14, 2017**

**Page 15**

ENTERED CLOSED SESSION: 8:16 pm

ENTERED OPEN SESSION: 8:40 pm

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, by unanimous consent the meeting adjourned.

City Council meeting of March 14, 2017 adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by: Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk

March 14, 2017 Council Minutes Approved by Council on March 28, 2017.

---

Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk