

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES – March 28, 2017**

Acting Mayor Veenbaas called the Council meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag:

ROLL CALL:

Present: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D’Astice, Veenbaas

Absent:

Staff Members Present: City Manager Barry Krumstok, Deputy City Clerk Ginny Cotugno, Community Development Director Valerie Dehner, Finance Director Melissa Gallagher, Fire Chief Scott Franzgrote, Police Chief John Nowacki, Public Works Director Fred Vogt, Assistant Public Works Director Rob Horne, City Attorney Jim Macholl

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the following statement:

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE ARE REMINDED THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING VIDEO TAPED FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BROADCAST OVER THE CITY’S CABLE TELEVISION CHANNEL.

MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES:

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: The first item on the agenda this evening is a motion to approve the minutes from the March 14th Council meeting. Is there such a motion? Alderman D’Astice made the motion and it was seconded by Alderman Banger. Are there any additions, deletions, or corrections to the minutes? Seeing none the question is shall the minutes be approved? All those in favor please signify by saying aye; those opposed? The ayes have it and the minutes are approved.

MOTION TO DEVIATE:

1) Public Hearing – CDBG 5-Year Plan

Alderman D’Astice made a motion to deviate and it was seconded by Alderman Prejna.

The Cook County Bureau of Administration requires the City of Rolling Meadows to hold a Public Hearing regarding Cook County Community Development Block Grant Applications for Funding in Program Year 2017.

Let the record show this Public Hearing opened at 7:32 p.m. on March 28, 2017.

The City of Rolling Meadows intends to apply for a Community Development Block Grant for

Arbor Drive Roadway and Watermain Improvements – Phase I
(enter project name here)

The proposed improvements serve the residents of City of Rolling Meadows, the adjoining Woodfield Gardens Apartments, a commercial area, tollway building. This road also serves residential and business areas in Village of Schaumburg.

No other applications have been received to date for use of Cook County Community Development Block Grant funds for the 2017 application cycle.

Is there anyone in the audience that wishes to speak regarding the Cook County 2017 Community Development Block Grant Program?

The Cook County Bureau of Administration also requires that the City of Rolling Meadows submit potential programs and project needs for the preparation of a Five-Year Consolidated Plan, as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Five-Year Consolidated Plan is to include both the needs as identified by the City of Rolling Meadows and any of the non-profit agencies located within the Municipality that wish to submit program and project needs. The Five-Year Consolidated Plan, as currently proposed, includes additional proposed projects and programs from:

City of Rolling Meadows:

- Arbor Drive Roadway & Watermain Improvements Phase I (2018), Phase II (2019) and Phase III (2020)
- Algonquin Parkway/Route 62 Intersection and Public Transportation Improvements (2022)

Rolling Meadows Park District:

- North Salk Park ADA Improvements (2021)

A copy of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan as proposed is attached within the City Council packets and is on file with the City Clerk for public review. It is scheduled on tonight's City Council Agenda for approval by resolution.

Is there anyone in the audience that wishes to speak regarding the Cook County Five-Year Consolidated Plan project and program proposal to be submitted by the City of Rolling Meadows?

Let the record show this Public Hearing closed at 7:34 p.m., March 28, 2017.

MAYOR'S REPORT:

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: None

Are there Ward Reports this evening?

WARD REPORTS:

None

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 3

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR 20 MINUTES:

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Now we are going to open the meeting up for the public for 20 minutes and we have five people that have signed in. So we'll start with John Carlson for 5600 Apollo Drive. Why don't you come and you have the floor and the microphone please.

John Carlson: Thank you council members, staff. We appreciate the opportunity to be in front of you here this evening. We are Johnny Carlson with Trammel Crow Company. We are representing our client School Health Corporation for a property that their proposing to build and construct a 130,000 square foot office and distribution facility at 5600 Apollo Drive which is currently the Charles Industries building. Rob Rogers who is with School Health Corporation is traveling this week, but we want to make sure that you know that this is a very important project for all of us. That we're working on this. He will be at the next meeting speaking on behalf of ownership who will own that facility potentially after construction and we all want to thank staff and Valerie for all their hard work to get us to this point. We are very excited about the opportunity to move their headquarters from Hanover Park to Rolling Meadows and we look forward to taking the next step. So thank you very much and we have a few other members of our team here if there are any questions, our architect, our traffic engineer and David Paino also with Trammel Crow Company. Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Did you want to bring up David and is it Doug too?

Mr. Carlson: David Paino is here for questions.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: And is there a Doug Shehan.

Mr. Shehan: Right here. I'm here with Charles Industries.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Well we thank both John and David for coming. We always appreciate having someone come up. We can put a face and name together on the project that you're dealing with. Next would be Doug Shehan. Would you like to come to the microphone?

Doug Shehan: I'm here for questions

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: And Mr. Charles

J. T. Charles: Mr. Charles is my father. I always get nervous and look behind me when I hear Mr. Charles. Mr. Mayor and Council members, my name is J. T. Charles. I'm here tonight to represent Mr. Charles and the interest of Charles Industries. As you may or may not know the family business was spouted in the basement of our home in Mt. Prospect, 1968. I had to make room with my Lincoln Logs for what we used to manufacture load coils. But since that time we've moved a couple of times in Arlington Heights. In 1970 we moved to Rolling Meadows and at that time we were leasing space at 4902 Tollview Drive and after outgrowing, moving around, Horsemen's Guarantee had that building. WE moved around that building several times leasing space until finally the owner of the building said to my father, well you've leased every space but one office. How would you like to buy the building? It was fortuitous and we did. They moved out. 1990 we moved into, I was one of the first employees to move into 5600 Apollo Drive and it was fortuitous from the standpoint that in 1991 a company acquired a company the same size of ourselves by the name of Westcom from Rockwell International. We had no idea what we were going to do with the second floor. We thought about can we lease it out? Maybe we could put a fitness center up there. We could certainly go bowling or biking, but fortunately February of 1991 we acquired Westcom and we bought with it 120 employees to fill the building and at that point

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 4

we said what are we going to do if we bring any more employees into the building so many things have changed over the years. At this time our second floor is now vacant. So after looking at our building and looking at our space needs in 2013 we came to the difficult decision of what are we going to do. This is certainly too much space for us for the company to continue to occupy it. We looked at our own redevelopment plans to take the building down, build something more suitable. It just wasn't feasible. So in 2013 we listed the building. It's been a four year painful experience trying to market the building. As Doug and our CFO John Seeber here can attest, but fortunately in December of 2016 we were successful with School Health Corp. and we reached an agreement and a contract. Read some comments here, the redevelopment appears closely aligned with the City's plans. The idea of having a redevelopment to the property as you've seen what has happened to the east of us and the redevelopment of that property, we most certainly see that this project is certainly in keeping with the Comprehensive Plans of the City of Rolling Meadows and hopefully you all have had a chance to read my father's letter. To that end I'm here tonight to ask for your approval for the preliminary planned development and should you have any questions we're here to answer them on behalf of Charles Industries.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you very much. We appreciate you explaining your company and its history and what's going on over there and we appreciate you coming to the microphone tonight.

Mr. Charles: If there are no questions I'm going to read a statement from my father. On behalf of Charles Industries I'd like to thank the City of Rolling Meadows, including your Community Development, Valerie thank you very much, the Police & Fire Departments who some time ago saved me after I was hit in the parking lot. For all the care and attention and economic well-being you've provided our employees and our business for the past 47 years. Thank you very much.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you sir. Moving on we have Steve Holish also to come forward. Do you want to come to the microphone please?

Steve Holish: Good evening. I'm not here for 5600 Apollo Drive. I'm here to discuss the resolution that's on the agenda for tonight. I understand you're going to put the 17-R-29 which is to reject the Gateway improvements, which I totally understand, but then also you have 17-R-21 which awards the contract for the improvement of Gateway Park. I would think you all should be urged to reject that one also because there's really no need to improve that. It's not really a park. It really doesn't have access to anybody else in the City. It's there for a very few restrict people and it's the short end of the improvement. If you went through the full improvement that you had in the original resolution it was costing a lot more. It will impact the neighbors, but it still would be a hazard to use because the parking lot would be on the west side. Not many people would go there. I mean it's not really a great piece of property to go to. It's not like a regular park like behind the Library that you can walk on and you can do a lot of things. This is more like a corner lot and it should be used for anything else but leave it the way it is and reject the proposal for tonight. Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you very much. There are no other sign ins on the sheet that I can see so the council now moves to its first order of business and that will be Ordinances for the 2nd Reading. This evening we have A, B and C in for 2nd Reading. I will start with A.

Mark Grothe: Excuse me. I would like to address the council. I was not aware I needed to sign in and I had great difficulty entering the building so I arrived a few minutes late.

Actin Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, we'll give you a couple of minutes. You can stay right there and speak.

Mr. Grothe: That would be easiest for me. Thank you for that. Can you all hear me okay?

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 5

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Yes. Just one minute. And the topic you want to discuss tonight?

Mr. Grothe: I'm sorry sir.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: And the topic you want to discuss tonight is?

Mr. Grothe: I'm asking you to revisit the ordinance on City's vehicle tax, the parking stickers.

Ms. Cotugno: I need his name

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay. Can you give us your name please too?

Mr. Grothe: Yes, I am Mark Grothe. I reside at 4000 Bluebird Lane in my hometown of Rolling Meadows. I have lived in Rolling Meadows all of my life except for six years during my teens and I've lived in the 4th Ward for 44 years.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay

Ms. Cotugno: Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you very much for that and then you would like to discuss the ordinance?

Mr. Grothe: Yes, sure. And none of us want to pay the vehicle taxes, but yet we know we have to. And I purchased vehicle stickers since 1974. I've never had a problem until May of 2016. Now I want to emphasize my remarks are not meant to be a complaint, but rather a historical statement of what happened that describes the problems for handicapped people and the policies and procedures that staff perceives as directives as a result of your ordinance. I would also like to emphasize that the staff I encountered did absolutely nothing wrong. I felt I was treated kindly, but firmly. I was treated respectfully even though we completely disagreed. So I have no beef with the staff that I encountered.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Very good, we're happy to hear that and the point you're making is

Mr. Grothe: I have several points here sir. In May of 2016 I came to City Hall to purchase the vehicle stickers for my two vehicles. Now first thing is I was asked to prove my disability. And that's reasonable. So I pulled out my wallet to show my official State of Illinois disabled person's identification card. The staff refused to look at my card that was issued by the Secretary of State. Rather they demanded to photo copy my disabled parking placard which was issued by you guessed it, Jesse White. I told them it would be difficult and painful for me to retrieve it from my car. Also I was concerned about my car being parked in a handicap spot because I do not have handicapped plates on the vehicle I drove that day and with no placard hanging from my mirror should one of our fine police officers come by, I would expect him to issue me a \$250.00 ticket as I would like them to do for everyone who uses those spots without the proper credentials. Now staff told me not to worry about it. So does that mean that the laws are not enforced in the parking lot at City Hall? I don't know. Anyhow she photocopied my placard and she rang it up and she told me the bill was \$33.00. And this is for two vehicles. Well, I'm not the best math student, but 11 plus 11 equals \$22.00 not 33. And that's when things started to go off the rails. I was told a motorcycle is not treated as a passenger vehicle, but rather it's treated the same as a trailer. Now my brother has a utility trailer and I can turn a key on that, I can push buttons, and I can sit on that trailer for the rest of my days and it would go nowhere without a separate tow vehicle. On my bike I can turn the key, push a button, the bike will take me and my

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 6

passenger countless miles so why is a motorcycle treated as a trailer. They are not the same class of vehicle. I was told a motorcycle is not a motor vehicle. Well, Jesse White would strongly disagree with that. I suggested that they contact the Secretary of State or perhaps ask one of our fine police officers because they would know that. The staff refused to do either and stood by their claim that a motorcycle is not a motor vehicle. I was asked for my disability placard for my motorcycle which does not exist. First off there is no place to put a placard on a motorcycle and the Secretary of State issues one placard per disabled person and that is valid for any vehicle that I am riding in. They had already photocopied my placard, but insisted the placards are for each vehicle, not per disabled person and again the Illinois Secretary of State would disagree. I then told her rather than a placard my motorcycle had a handicapped license plate.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Sir, you have about a minute to wrap up.

Mr. Grothe: I should be done in a minute. Staff said that a handicapped plate for a motorcycle does not exist and I said well Jesse White issued me one and its mounted on my motorcycle and staff said I would have to bring my motorcycle to City Hall to prove my claim. Since I had only had major surgery three days before it would be unsafe and I refused. The staff member then suggested that I speak with her supervisor, the Finance Director. I agreed, but thought our Finance Director had better things to do than argue over an 11.00 dollar tax difference. I had nearly the exact same discussion with her, the same objections, and my same counter objections. I showed her my registration card proving my motorcycle had a handicapped plate. The Finance Director insisted council intended the discount only be applied to passenger vehicles and a motorcycle is not a passenger vehicle. Simply not true. The Finance Director said she could not....

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Sir, your minute is up. Can you get, is there anything you'd like to add because you're out of time.

Mr. Grothe: Okay, she contacted the City, tried to contact the City attorney. He was unavailable. She gave me the discount which I appreciated and she said I'd have the same problem this May which is coming up so I'm asking you to review those procedures and policies to correct these things that are obviously wrong and then on a separate note, I would like to say why is it the handicapped door openers downstairs are either broken or turned off. I believe that I should be able to access the council chambers for these meetings. That was part of the reason I was late. Another reason is there were no directions to an elevator. Now I'm capable of climbing stairs, but it's painful and difficult. There needs to be better directions for handicapped people.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you very much.

Mr. Grothe: Thank you for your indulgence.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you. This might be an issue that we can hand over to maybe the City Manager a little bit later after we both look at the notes, okay?

Mr. Grothe: That would be wonderful. Thank you sir.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: We appreciate you bringing that to our attention. Thank you very much.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas closed the floor.

➤ **PENDING**

A) Ordinance No. 17-09 / Reserve Volume Cap (2nd Reading)

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman Prejna made a motion to approve the ordinance and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Is there any discussion on the ordinance? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS:

ABSENT: 0

The ordinance was adopted.

B) Ordinance No. 17-10 / Amend Liquor Code – Class “B” Hours (2nd Reading)

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to approve the ordinance and it was seconded by Alderman Banger.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Is there any discussion on the ordinance? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The ordinance was adopted.

C) Ordinance No. 17-11 / Authorize Disposal of Surplus Equipment (2nd Reading)

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the Ordinance.

Alderman Banger made a motion to adopt the ordinance and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Is there any discussion on the ordinance? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The ordinance was adopted.

➤ **ORDINANCES:**

D) Ordinance / Approve Preliminary Planned Development for 5600 Apollo Drive (1st Reading)

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the ordinance.

Alderman Majikes made the motion to move the ordinance forward for 2nd Reading and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is shall the ordinance be moved forward for 2nd Reading. The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The Clerk will move the ordinances forward for 2nd Reading.

➤ **NEW BUSINESS:**

E) MOTION TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF BILLS ON WARRANT 3-28-17.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Is there a motion to approve the March 28, 2017 Warrant as presented by the Finance Department? Alderman D' Astice made the motion and it has been seconded by Alderman Banger. Is there any discussion? Seeing none the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The warrant was approved.

➤ **CONSENT RESOLUTIONS:**

F) Resolution No. 17-R-29 / Reject Bids for Gateway Park Improvements

G) Resolution No. 17-R-21 / Award Contract for Gateway Park Improvements (Postponed at the 3/14/17 Council Meeting)

H) Resolution No. 17-R-30 / Award Contract for Pipelining

I) Resolution No. 17-R-31 / Approve CDBG 5-Year Plan

J) Resolution No. 17-R-32 / Approve CDBG Project

K) Resolution No. 17-R-33 / Authorize the Certification of Matching Funds Availability for the 2017 Cook County Development Block Grant Program

L) Resolution No. 17-R-34 / Approve the 2017 Bike Path Map

M) Resolution No. 17-R-35 / Authorize Ordering of Road Salt for 2017-2018

N) Resolution No. 17-R-36 / Approve Intergovernmental Agreement with Next Level

O) Resolution No. 17-R-37 / Award Contract for Purchase of 4th of July Fireworks

P) Resolution No. 17-R-38 / Approve Change Order #1 for City Hall Exterior Renovation

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 9

Q) Resolution No. 17-R-39 / Award Contract for City Hall Parking Lot

R) Resolution No. 17-R-40 / Award Contract for Pavement Patching

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: That brings the council to the resolutions for this evening. There are thirteen of them that could be grouped together in a Consent Agenda if the council so wishes. They are Items F through R. Would any alderman like any of those items removed from the Consent Agenda? Alderman Banger.

Alderman Banger: G

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Cannon

Alderman Cannon: In conjunction with that F. I would just like an explanation.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: F

Alderman Cannon: Then also N

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: N, any other? Alderman Judd

Alderman Judd: P like Peter.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: P, so G, F, N, and P, correct?

Alderman D'Astice: And K and Q

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: So Mr. D'Astice did you say K and Q?

Alderman D'Astice: K and Q

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: K and Q, might as well just start going through each one then. Alright, let's start with just, well let's take out F, let's take out G. We said take out N. P, K and Q. That doesn't leave us with much. So we have seven left. We have H, I, J, L, M, and O.

Ms. Cotugno: And R

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: And R. Those we would put together for a Consent at this point. So with the remaining ones that I just pointed out, is there a motion for Consent Agenda for those ones? Mr. Cannon made a motion and Mr. Prejna seconded it. So can we move forward on voting on those then?

Ms. Cotugno: Yes

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Would that require a roll call vote?

Ms. Cotugno: Yes

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: So will the Clerk please call the roll on those ones please?

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 10

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The resolutions were adopted.

F) Resolution No. 17-R-29 / Reject Bids for Gateway Park Improvements

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman D' Astice made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman Banger.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Discussion on this item? Mr. Cannon you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman Cannon: I think this F & G kind of go together.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: They do

Alderman Cannon: I think Mr. Banger pulled G. Can we talk about them together or is that illegal?

Mr. Krumstok: Can I just make one quick comment and maybe that will alleviate some of your question, but if you pass F, then, let me rephrase that. If you approve F, than G is pretty much moot so I know what you're trying to do, so F is actually the one that is in play. So again, if you do approve the rejection than G is pretty much moot.

Alderman Cannon: Okay, so if we, say we did just the opposite of that? So say we do the opposite of what you just said? Will G move forward then?

Mr. Krumstok: Yes, if you reject F, than G is back in play.

Ms. Cotugno: If we don't reject the bids.

Alderman Cannon: Okay. A little bit confused but that's par for the course for me. Okay, I guess I'm fine with it then. Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any other comments? Mrs. Majikes did you have a comment?

Alderman Majikes: No

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: No, Mr. Banger?

Alderman Banger: My comments on are G, but like Mr. Cannon said, these two go together so Barry, what Barry is getting at is vote yes for F to reject all the contracts. At the end of G if you notice their recommendation is to not approve it, so vote yes for F and vote no for G. The reason I took this off is I actually had a lot of chatter from residents. A couple folks from Garden Club and a community gardener just randomly who commented that they couldn't believe that we haven't stuck a fork in this last, or two weeks ago when we had the opportunity. We got these bids back and I think when it left, when it left it was possibly going to be revived and I agree with what the folks who contacted me said, whether we

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 11

take another stab at this and proceed incrementally, it will still be a wad more than was initially estimated at. They also had some concerns with just I think somebody had mentioned access by other residents. Even if we paved an entrance with parking spots it wouldn't be many and it's in a whacky spot. Too busy, the intersection connects two busy roads. This is an example, at least for me, of something that started with good intentions, let's improve the City park and it just, it turned into a monster so I'm certainly going to be voting yes to reject the bids and then no to kill this resolution to go forward and my intention in doing that would be that we never see anything from Gateway Park again because I think the City takes care of it. I just want to make sure I don't want any massive, or even 40,000 dollar types of improvements done, so I just want to get that message out there. Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you for the clarification. Any other comments on this one? Mr. Krumstok

Mr. Krumstok: Can I just do one quick clarification and that's more towards your comment that you just said. Staff might be back, should be back for doing some electrical out there. As some of you know the electrical is not the best over there. We've had certain lights blow out and at this point in time we might do it as staff wise, but we would bring that back because there is some concern, even at the flag, but that's something that we'd bring back as a staff project.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay with that. So just to clarify we're going to vote on F first, and again F to vote yes on F rejects F, okay? So there are no other comments on this one? So will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The resolution was approved to reject.

G) Resolution No. 17-R-21 / Award Contract for Gateway Park Improvements (Postponed at the 3/14/17 Council Meeting)

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman D'Astice made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Alderman Banger, you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman Banger: This is the one I said vote no for to stick a fork in and die.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any other comments on this one? Mr. Cannon?

Alderman Cannon: I too will vote no on it because I agree with most of the comments Mr. Banger made. I guess the exception I would make is I think there are a couple of issues down there that might be worth doing on a small scale like the electric and maybe possibly a new flag pole. We don't need to do 150,000 dollars' worth of stuff though. So I would say some minor changes down there. Thank you.

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 12

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Are there any other discussion on this one at all? So let's take a roll vote on this one please.

AYES: 0

NAYS: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Veenbaas

ABSENT: 0

The resolution failed.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: So we will not be spending any money in Gateway Park. Can I make a quick comment though? Mr. Krumstok, on the items that you believe might need some attention like for example the light on the flag pole, kind of let us know what the dollar amount of that is. If it's a 10,000 dollar deal or is a 1,000 dollar deal. If it's a 1,000 dollar deal can it be done under maintenance or you decide.

Mr. Krumstok: The plan is to bring a staff report at some point so it's not a full COW discussion.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you.

K) Resolution No. 17-R-33 / Authorize the Certification of Matching Funds Availability for the 2017 Cook County Development Block Grant Program

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman Cannon made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman Banger.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. D'Astice you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman D'Astice: My concern with this is not the expenditure of the funds because that's contingent on the Grant, but my concern with this is that I don't want to spend, I don't want to budget 400,000 dollars for this event for this function and have it come out of the Road Fund. We finally got the Road Fund up to a million dollars a year so this would have to be in additional to that amount. So if in the 2018 budget the Road Fund gets reduced down to 600,000 I'm voting no.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you Mr. D'Astice. Anyone else on the council have any discussion? Mr. Vogt can you make a comment on that?

Mr. Vogt: Yes Mayor. The plan for the budget is the same as it was last year when we submitted this project and did not get selected by the County for any funding. And that our matching funds have been designated from the Utility Fund for the water main specific and the Grant requested is for the expenditures. If we are successful that Grant would go into the roadway element of it and not the water main element of it. So we don't foresee any expenditures out of the Road Fund for this project if we are able to proceed.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, very good. Mr. D'Astice does that help you?

Alderman D'Astice: I just wanted to get my, convey my thoughts up front because I don't want it coming in next year and my million dollars of roads goes down to 600,000 because of this. That's, I don't want to do that, but as long as Fred knows that ahead of time, then I'm fine with this.

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 13

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: I got you and I appreciate the question and the clarification. Any other discussion on this resolution? If there is no other discussion will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, D' Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

The resolution was approved.

N) Resolution No. 17-R-36 / Approve Intergovernmental Agreement with Next Level

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman Banger made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman D' Astice

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Alderman Cannon you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman Cannon: I guess, Mr. Mayor, I would ask for a little bit of clarification on this. I have some mixed feeling about this and I'll tell you where I'm coming from. I'm just wondering if we're adding another layer of government here. And I don't know the answer to that. I guess the one question I would ask is who from our City would be involved in handling our portion of it. And I know it's only a 15,000 dollar commitment, but what are the odds of us actually getting a benefit from it. Anybody in our City getting a benefit from it?

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Fair question.

Mr. Krumstok: I can actually answer that.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Krumstok

Mr. Krumstok: Going back to the COW discussion that we had in 2016 regarding this right now it's really the managers who are running most of this. Once the Board is set up there might be some other staff members or business members who are actually running it. It is going to be a non-for-profit corporation and it is benefitting every municipality. So it's not once the not-for-profit is set up that Elk Grove Village is doing one thing with everybody. Everybody is uniform trying to get the second stage businesses to really develop and grow. The meetings are set up to hopefully generate those second stage businesses to really come out to each location and again the seed money for the three years is really to set up the not-for-profit corporation and again the managers have done really most of the work at this point in time. The plan is also at some point to get an Executive Director and then we take a step back at some point too, but again it does have short term and long term goals. They're spelled out in the document and we do feel supportive of this. Obviously some other municipalities have already passed it, but again as we state we feel good about this accelerator and again each of the municipalities have their own feeling on it, but also making sure that those short term and long term goals really develop over those years.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: So if I can clarify what you just said. So this is not a second layer of government?

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 14

Mr. Krumstok: No, we're really setting it up that once that not-for-profit is set that Executive Director, managers might show up once a year for a meeting and might show up if some other thing is in there, but we're really hoping that potentially another staff member or a business individual or an alderman might actually participate in it, but we're hoping once all those items are set up its very limited to what staff is doing. The example, the best example that I can actually utilize on this is Fox Valley who has their accelerator been up for the last three plus years, it's been very healthy and talking to some of their staff its really once they were up and running and people go through the phasing and got through the accelerator part and got their mentors, a lot of their stage two's have really seen the benefit of this program and their staff has just heard good things about it and it's a limited time that they've actually had to spend on it with the not-for-profit in Fox Valley.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, Mr. Cannon do you want to add to that discussion?

Alderman Cannon: I was wondering, so it sounds like you have a little bit of experience with the Fox Valley one. About how many members do they have in general, about?

Mr. Krumstok: On the top of my head I can't....

Alderman Cannon: Like five like us or something like that?

Mr. Krumstok: Its multiple municipalities that joined into it and again a little bit of St. Charles and a whole bunch of those areas.

Alderman Cannon: So do you have any idea, here's my concern. We're asking for 15,000 dollars a year for three years. You start talking about bringing an Executive Director in. Our contribution right now that's only 75 grand a year just for what we're doing right now. That's without anybody directing it. Then you have to bring a Director in. Then you've got to get him an office. Probably get him an assistant.

Mr. Krumstok: Right, part of the non-profit and part of Fox Valley has been very good about is getting Grants and also some of these State II companies that have gone through the mentoring and through the process, they've also, their commitment is also to participate giving money back to the accelerator as it grows. So it really generates from that first seed money and then after that it's really through the grants and through the other participation that they really are a standalone. That they're really not receiving anything from the St. Charles and the other municipalities.

Alderman Cannon: So you don't foresee our contribution going up at all?

Mr. Krumstok: As far as when the managers were talking about it, the 15, the 15, and the 15 over the next three years, that's really all we were looking for at this point in time and that's hopefully what the commitment would be and as one of the managers stated, obviously we're going to be watching it and evaluating it and typically about year two we will know how the seed money is going and also how their Grant money is going and then potentially if we had to go back, but most of us don't see that it would be going back to municipalities at this point in time.

Alderman Cannon: So where would someone get a Grant for this?

Mr. Krumstok: There's a lot of Grants through some of the SBA's, through some of the small business organizations.

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 15

Alderman Cannon: So federal money?

Mr. Krumstok: Federal and there has been some State money but obviously I can't guarantee anything from the State, but a lot of Federal money has actually trickled down for some of these. There's been some other large corporations, McArthur Foundation, and some other groups that have donated to Fox Valley and some of the other groups.

Alderman Cannon: Thank you for the answers.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any further discussion on this resolution? Seeing no further comments, oh Mr. Judd.

Alderman Judd: Correct me if I'm wrong. When this originally started it had Arlington and Mt. Prospect correct?

Mr. Krumstok: That is correct.

Alderman Judd: Are they still in it or out?

Mr. Krumstok: They are currently out of it and I don't want to speak for them per se, but there was some reservation at this point in time, but they're more than comfortable coming back in after everything is set up and part of it is just with concerns with the election that they.....

Alderman Judd: I guess my, I'd echo those sentiments that if, we should just follow their lead and if Arlington and Mt. Prospect come back again then I'd be interested. It's just my opinion but we've kind of seen this animal before when we did the housing consortium and it wasn't going to cost anything and then it was just staff time and then it was this and that. We kept spending more staff time so I think the idea is okay, but I don't like the way it is set up so I won't be supporting it. Thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, any further comments on this resolution? Then seeing no further comment, the question is shall the resolution be adopted. Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Prejna, Majikes, Banger, D'Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: Cannon, Judd

ABSENT: 0

The resolution was approved.

P) Resolution No. 17-R-38 / Approve Change Order #1 for City Hall Exterior Renovation

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman Banger made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman Cannon.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Alderman Judd, you asked that this be pulled. You're entitled to first comments.

Alderman Judd: I have no comments on it. Thank you.

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 16

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: You have no comments. Any other comments on this? Mr. D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: Yeah, I have comments on this. If we awarded a bid at the last meeting, how is it that this company comes back and says oops I made a mistake and I'm changing the bid because there was a mistake in it and now we're raising part of it and lowering part of it? Don't they have to live with the bid that they provided us?

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Vogt, would you like to comment on that?

Mr. Vogt: I appreciate Mr. Macholl grabbing the microphone as well. That is information that after the last council meeting we took it back to the architect who looked at the pricing. We had discussions with the contractor who realized that they had made an error basically with the amount of money that we awarded based on the bid as read could not do fascia and the soffit work and that's what is before us is to amend that bid and we assembled it by change order. That's what we're presenting and recommending based on the fact that the garage element, or alternate two as it was known, was pulled at that meeting because it was felt to be too high and certainly looking at the other bids in comparison at that point it was. With this work that should have been in the base bid out, the garage door work is well within the average of the other bids that we received. So I hope that's a good explanation but specific to the question, I'd have to defer to Mr. Macholl.

Mr. Macholl: The council accepted the bid with the exception of alternate two. They came back with a new number with respect to alternate two. If you don't want the new number with respect to alternate two you can simply do whatever you want, but you can accept what, we've already accepted everything with the exception of what wasn't approved at the last meeting.

Alderman D'Astice: That's not what I read in here though. What I read in here is they're changing the base bid from 316 to 358 and their changing alternate two from 136 to 93. So if the original base bid that we accepted was 316 don't they have to live with the 316? And then if our architect didn't catch this until we bought it up, how much of a refund is he giving us because he made a screw up too. I'm sorry, when something looks like manure it kind of smells like manure.

Mr. Macholl: Well I don't know if the architect made a screw up. I'm not saying he did. I'm not saying he did at all. I think the problem was that apparently the contractor mistook the numbers with the exception of alternate two.

Alderman D'Astice: Understood.

Mr. Macholl: If you want to live with that, we live with that.

Alderman D'Astice: But is that what I'm reading here? Somebody clarify that for me because I'm reading that this is a change order that increases alternate one and decreases alternate two. That's what I'm reading in here. Am I mistaken on that?

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Vogt?

Mr. Vogt: The proposed change order as presented is to acknowledge the omission of 42,000 dollars worth of work that should have been submitted as the base bid. Instead the contractor submitted it with alternate number two. The total had all of the alternates been awarded with the contract two weeks ago is the same 481,000 dollars I believe that is proposed should change order number one be approved

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 17

tonight. There is no net change to the contractors bid as the base with all of the alternates. It's simply a reallocation of where the dollars were to be identified and where they were to be earned.

Alderman D'Astice: I understand what you just said so why can't we, so they're not being held to the bid that they presented us that we accepted?

Mr. Vogt: They are being held to that as I understand it based on the action the council took two weeks ago.

Alderman D'Astice: The action that we took two weeks ago said we accepted a bid of 316 base bid, so if we say we want to do that, what's our vote on this? How do we vote on this? Because I see this as saying well we made a mistake and we're going to take it from here and put it over here and now I'm hearing that staff is recommending this and whoever this architect is that we're paying him didn't catch this either. I'm sorry, this is, it doesn't seem right to me. It seems like someone made a mistake and don't want to live with it and now we have to pony up for their mistake.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Vogt

Mr. Vogt: Well the only alternative I see is if the council wishes to hold the contractor that was awarded the contract to the lower number and they had a significant omission and I will call it a 42,000 dollars, then it would be up to them to decide whether they are willing to do the project or not willing to do the project at that decreased value.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Banger

Alderman Banger: I read this exactly as Fred was describing it. At the end of the day it's a wash. If we want to keep the alternate number two and I understand what Alderman D'Astice is talking about in terms of sticking to the original bid. The only danger in that is the way I'm approaching this is I want that alternate so it is actually going to be a wash at the end of the day and I definitely don't want to send a message to potential contractors that are submitting bids to the City that we're going to play that game of gotcha just because it would, I would think that would significantly diminish people's ambitions in terms of doing work with the City. We already have trouble getting as many bids as we would prefer to foster a little bit of competition and I think this would make that worse. So I understand that's a significant error. By the time you take it off and add it back to the option should we pass it tonight, it's a wash so I'm going to vote for this.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you very much. Any other comments? Mr. Cannon.

Alderman Cannon: I guess the concern I have is why is it 42,000 and change. 42,675 and why was it, it just doesn't make sense to me how one is in line with the other. Why aren't the numbers a little bit different? If they made a mistake on the doors, is it the same exact amount that was supposed to be in the other one? Why did they have an alternate? Why didn't they just bid it all together? I guess I'm just asking the question. I don't know if anybody can give the answer, but it just, it's like we're trading apples to apples, but it's really there were two separate segments. And they made a mistake on one. I'm not trying to punish them, but why, you would think there should be a discount off the 42,675, not, it shouldn't be the exact change to me. It shouldn't be the same. Am I making sense? It just seems like they're not apples to apples that they made a mistake, but why was it 42 in one section when they were bidding it separately?

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 18

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: I understand. Do you want to make any further comment about that Mr. Vogt?

Mr. Vogt: Only that my understanding of what the architect and the contractor are telling us is that that 42,000 dollars that should have been put in the base, was instead erroneously put into the alternate two garage work. I don't know why they misunderstood the direction. Why they chose to put that in there. That's why the numbers are the same whether its 42,000 dollars worth of fascia, soffit work in the base bid or whether it was included erroneously as alternate number two.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, any further comments on this? Seeing no further comments the question is shall the resolution be adopted? Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Banger, Veenbaas

NAYS: Judd, D'Astice

ABSENT: 0

The resolution was approved.

Q) Resolution No. 17-R-39 / Award Contract for City Hall Parking Lot

Acting Mayor Veenbaas read the background on the resolution.

Alderman Cannon made a motion to approve the resolution and it was seconded by Alderman Majikes.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any comments on this one? Mr. D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: It seems to me that 90,000 dollars is a fair amount of money to spend on the front and side parking lot and I seem to recall we just did the front and side parking lot within the last couple of years. So why are we spending another 90,000 on doing it again? And I see, one question at a time.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Vogt would you like to make a comment on that? There's more to it than we did in the past. If you'd like to explain that?

Mr. Vogt: I believe the work that was done recently in the front lot was between sealcoating and between some patching work that was done a few years ago. I don't remember exactly what year, but there's also been work done in the back lot as well. The contract as recommended is for 51,270.00 dollars.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any other comments on this? Seeing no further comments, the question is shall the.....

Alderman D'Astice: No, no, no. Wait, I have more comments.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: I was waiting for you.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, I'm sorry. I thought, okay it says, so which parking lots are we fixing because part of this said we're doing the front and side. You just said the side and the back.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Mr. Vogt

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 19

Mr. Vogt: Thank you Mayor. No I did not and if sounded like that, or I did misspeak, what the proposed work to do in 2017 is for the front lot at City Hall and the side, or east portion of City Hall. We did do work previously, I believe last year, on the rear lot, but that is not included in the work to be done this year. It's strictly the east side, south side or the front.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Does that include the cement work out there too?

Mr. Vogt: Correct, and specifically some of those islands will be removed and that's some of the concrete work that was just approved by council.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: I bring that up because that's a much more major part and that's why it costs more money, correct?

Mr. Vogt: In part, correct, to do additional asphalt work to compensate for that concrete.

Alderman D'Astice: Is the concrete a part of this?

Mr. Vogt: No, the concrete is part of a contract that was awarded I believe at the last meeting.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: So can you clarify for us then why it's the dollar amount you just mentioned.

Mr. Vogt: It's at the dollar amount because it includes an entire resurfacing of the lot. It includes the asphalt patching of the concrete islands that are present that are going to be removed and paved. It includes, and also the stripping work that's to be done there. So the alternative identified in the resolution is specific to the patching of the concrete islands that are being removed.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, I'm sorry but I still recall that we just, I thought we just redid this like I said within the last couple of years and I don't see walking out there every week, I don't see that it's all torn up and this is to do, to fix the asphalt after you remove the concrete that's one thing, but that's not, again, what I'm reading here. This is saying the whole parking lot.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: My understanding is that what we've done previously is the back and this is now the side and the front. Is that correct?

Mr. Vogt: Correct and there was patching done on the lot probably two, three years ago. I believe when we first started the Farmers Market Program, but the condition of the pavement is in staff's estimation one that needs to be done and it is a multi-year program to address all of the City owned parking lots in the next three years I believe.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Any other clarification? Mr. D'Astice:

Alderman D'Astice: Yes, the final clarification is in the council summary, okay, I read in here that there's 90,000 projected to be spent in '18, 75 in '19, 70 in '20, and 65 in '21 and I guess I'm not sure if that needs to be in this or not because I don't want staff coming back to council saying well we've already said that and this is what's going to happen. I think each one needs to stand on its own merits so I don't like this in here the way it's written. I think we need to be a little more specific on how we write these things in the future.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Okay, thank you. Any further comments? Seeing no further comments the question is shall the resolution be adopted? The Clerk please call the roll.

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 20

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Majikes, Judd, Banger, Veenbaas

NAYS: D'Astice

ABSENT: 0

The resolution was approved.

OTHER BUSINESS & REPORTS:

Mayor's Appointments: None

Mayor's Proclamations: None

City Clerk's Report: Presented deadlines for the upcoming April 4th Election.

City Staff Reports:

1) Community Items of Interest

- 1) Early voting for the April 4th elections runs through Monday, April 3rd. To find an early voting location or if you have questions, please visit www.cookcountyclerk.com or call 312-603-5656.
- 2) On March 30th starting at 6:00 pm at the Library the Garden Club will be conducting a Seed Swap. All are welcome to attend.
- 3) Just a friendly reminder, yard waste collection starts on Monday, April 3rd. In addition, please remember that this also marks the end of early refuse set out, which is allowed by ordinance from November 1st to March 31st. Beginning Monday, April 3rd, residents should place refuse and recyclables at the curb after 6:00 pm the night before scheduled pick-up. For more information, please contact Public Works at 847-963-0500 or visit www.cityrm.org/PublicWorks
- 4) Future topics at April Committee meetings:

Plan Commission: (*Tuesday, April 4th at 7:30 pm in the Council Chambers*): the tentative items for public hearings consist of: 1) a Sign Appeal to replace a Freestanding Sign with an Electronic Message Board and exceed the height for Plum Grove Junior High School, 2600 Plum Grove Road (R-1 Residence District) (Petitioner is Dr. Kerry Wilson on behalf of Community Consolidated School District 15); 2) Amend the Meijer Planned Development to allow the installation of 10 Tesla Charging Stations at the north end of the Meijer parking lot located at 1301 Meijer Drive (C-2 Commercial District) (Petitioner is Zachery Sheets of the GPD Group); and 3) Amend the Special Use to operate a carwash with outdoor vacuuming and extended hours of operation at the property located at 5600 New Wilke Road (C-2 Commercial District) (Petitioner and Owner is Mariusz Lekarczyk).

Economic Development (*Wednesday, April 5th at 6:00 pm in the Council Chambers*): tentative items for discussion consist of: 1) 5600 Apollo Drive – 6b request for the School Health Corporation; 2) feedback from contacts; 3) other items of interest in the City; and 4) Chamber of Commerce Update.

Zoning Board: *due to a lack of items, the April meeting has been cancelled.*

2) New Businesses – February 2017

Company Name	Address	RM or PAL	Contact Name	Phone #	Type of Business	Home Occupation
Investwise Corporation	5410 Newport Dr #31	R.M.	Moiz Mohammed	847-919-4993	Reseller of computers & laptops	No
Nelson Building Group Inc	1803 Hicks Rd #C	R.M.	John Lyall,	331-200-0304	Handyman Services	No
24H Group Inc	5431 Elizabeth Place	R.M.	Piotr Polak	773-366-4870	IT Services	Yes
Clearbrook	2100 Golf Rd #110	R.M.	Carl LeMell	847-979-8563	Programs for adults with disabilities	No

3) Staff Traffic Advisory Report – March 2017

Mr. Vogt: The monthly meeting of the Traffic Review Committee was held Wednesday March 8, 2017. [Note that there was no meeting held in February due to lack of business.]

The following topics were discussed:

1. New bikepath map
 It was reported that multiple changes have been made, and that several revisions are still necessary, which should be completed by March 16, in order to be presented to the Committee of the Whole at its March 21 meeting.
2. Parking problem at Du Pont/Vermont-Plum Tree Lane intersection
 No further problems have been observed; the problem is believed to have been caused by new home construction in the nearby area.
3. Traffic signage deficiencies in the Marketplace and Rolling Meadows / McDonald’s Shopping Center
 Letters had been sent out in January, but no actions have been taken. This matter is now being referred to Community Development Department for possible adjudication.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Can I ask a quick question about that one? So what are they resisting on the signs?

City Council Meeting

March 28, 2017

Page 22

Mr. Vogt: We don't know what they're resisting, but they haven't acknowledged our correspondence and we'll be trying to correspond and reach them again to get their signage repaired.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Keep us updated on that one then.

Mr. Vogt: Yes sir.

The next meeting of the Traffic Review Committee is to be scheduled Wednesday April 5, 2017 at 2:30 p.m.

MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA:

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Are there Matters Not on the Agenda this evening? Mr. Judd

Alderman Judd: We talked about back in November/December about the minimum wage and the sick time that's being forced upon small businesses and I noticed that I think Mt. Prospect opted out and Elk Grove is talking about it and we were waiting to see what other people are doing. Are we going to bring that forward to the council at the next COW or where do we stand with that?

Mr. Krumstok: That is the plan and actually tonight Schaumburg might be voting on theirs, but again as more municipalities are tickling, that's something that I've been watching and Elk Grove is actually talking about their own minimum wage rate, but that is a plan to bring it back, start the discussion. Arlington Heights had their first discussion two weeks ago, maybe two weeks ago, but they have another one scheduled for April and then May, but we're well aware of the July 1st date.

Alderman Judd: So just to confirm, there's no comprehensive, per se, attack or everybody was going to try to, you made it sound before like everybody is going to try to disapprove it or approve it and we didn't want to be out of step, but that doesn't seem to be the case.

Mr. Krumstok: The original group of mostly managers in the Cook County region who had discussed, it fell off to the side where each municipality started doing it on their own. They were hoping that the State would step in and change things or the County would change their ways and then there are a few municipalities that are waiting to see after a municipality passed their opt out, what the County was going to do to them.

Alderman Judd: So is your intention to bring this to the April COW?

Mr. Krumstok: That is the plan and I'm still watching the other municipalities.

Alderman Judd: Perfect, thank you.

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: Thank you, that was a great agenda item to bring up. Any other aldermen have any other items that are not on the agenda? I guess not. So we're getting down to the home stretch here.

CLOSED SESSION:

- 1) Review Closed Session Minutes – 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (21) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act**
- 2) Land Acquisition – 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (5) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act**

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: There is a request to go into Closed Session this evening to Review Closed Session Minutes which falls under 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (21) of the Illinois Meetings Act and Land Acquisition which falls under 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) (5) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act. It does require a motion and a roll call vote. Is there such a motion? Alderman Cannon made the motion and it has been seconded by Alderman Banger. Is there any discussion? Seeing none the question is shall the council go into Closed Session for the above purpose? The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Prejna, Judd, Banger, D'Astice, Veenbaas

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

Acting Mayor Veenbaas: With 7 in favor and none opposed, the Council will now enter Closed Session. The press and audience are advised that we do not plan on taking any action upon returning to Open Session.

ENTERED CLOSED SESSION: 8:41pm

ENTERED OPEN SESSION: 8:50 pm

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, by unanimous consent the meeting adjourned.

City Council meeting of March 28, 2017 adjourned at 8:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by: Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk

March 28, 2017 Council Minutes Approved by Council on April 11, 2017.

Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk