CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES – April 24, 2018

Mayor Prejna called the Council meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag:

ROLL CALL:

Present: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

Absent: Veenbaas

Staff Members Present: City Manager Barry Krumstok, Deputy City Clerk Ginny Cotugno, Finance Director Melissa Gallagher, Fire Chief Terry Valentino, Police Chief John Nowacki, Public Works Director Fred Vogt, City Engineer Gary Rozwadowski, Business Advocate Martha Corner, and City Attorney Jim Macholl

Mayor Prejna read the following statement:

MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE ARE REMINDED THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING VIDEO TAPED FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BROADCAST OVER THE CITY'S CABLE TELEVISION CHANNEL.

MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES:

Mayor Prejna: The first item on the agenda this evening is a motion to approve the minutes from the April 10, 2018 Council meeting. Is there such a motion? Alderman Budmats made the motion and it was seconded. Are there any additions, deletions, or corrections to the minutes? Seeing none the question is shall the minutes be approved? All those in favor please signify by saying aye; those opposed? The ayes have it and the minutes are approved.

MOTION TO DEVIATE:

1) Robert Newell Retirement

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to deviate from the agenda because we do have a gentleman that is retiring after 39 years and 364 days, I'm told. Do I have such a motion? The motion has been made and it has been seconded. All in favor? The ayes have it and we will deviate from the Agenda.

Mayor Prejna read the proclamation and declared Friday, April 27, 2018 as Bob Newell Day in the City of Rolling Meadows.

MAYOR'S REPORT:

Mayor Prejna: Just a couple of quick points. One is I did attend a grand opening for our new fitness center in downtown Meadows. We were kidding about Bob's dad because he had called me before the meeting because we installed new lights at Gateway Park and he was very pleased that we had done that, so I thought that was appropriate to mention. Also at a previous meeting we always talk about its good for members of the Council to signal ahead and Alderman D'Astice did that at a previous meeting

and I should have given him the opportunity to speak first because he did signal ahead so I wish to apologize for not giving that, so thank you Mr. D'Astice for being gracious about that. Are there any Ward Reports?

WARD REPORTS: None

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR 20 MINUTES:

Mayor Prejna: The first signatory is Steve Holish. Please step forward.

Steve Holish: Good evening. As I only have 5 minutes to discuss issues I'm going to keep them to probably only two major points for tonight. The major point that you're on your second reading tonight is the Vehicle Sticker Tax. I and many other residents feel that this has probably been handled extremely poorly within the council and City staff and everybody else. You're putting the onus on the residents of the City to the tune of ½ million dollars. Maybe somebody should have looked at the different way to get that ½ million dollars, maybe attached to a bond fund or something else and then put that money, let the residents say hey you're not going to have stickers this year. They're all going to be free and next year you're going to have a City Sticker Tax, from a Gas Tax. That will be fine. It took me a little over 28 years to get it down to where I only have two cars, small said Sticker Tax, and now you put this through and now I'm back to paying what I was when I started out, so to me its impacted me somewhat. I don't mind it. I just think it was handled very badly and the City should really think about this going forward and that means going forward in recycling. I've heard a lot of discussions on recycling. I know that's a prime subject that everybody wants to do recycling, but yet costs are going up and here it is, you're going to pass it on to the residents again. I don't think that's fair. We had a discussion, or you did, in the Committee-of-the-Whole that said we want it to be equal to what it is now because our contract is running out and if that's not the case it's going to go out to bid. Staff came back and said it's going to be out to bid but it's probably going to cost even more than what our rates would have been. So here we are again at the crossroads of pushing it through to the residents again. We're going to pay more money and all through these discussions I've never heard anybody say let's just not have recycling. I know that's a really hard subject, but maybe that's the way to go. People aren't really concerned. They're looking at the bottom line. It costs them too much to do recycling. They don't want to do it. So why should we? Maybe staff should look at it and say how much more is it going to cost for our garbage people to pick up everything. Let it go as that and not put the cost on the residents. That's all I got. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you for coming forward. The next person up to speak is Linda Ballantine.

Linda Ballantine: Good evening Council. Linda Ballantine, Executive Director with the Rolling Meadows Chamber of Commerce. The Rolling Meadows Chamber has researched and reviewed the issue of video gaming in detail. The Chamber has previously addressed the council on this subject matter and shared our findings that included the following. Video games rules and regulations as set forth by the Illinois Gaming Board and the State of Illinois; presentation by local professionals in the field of video gaming terminals; requests from small independent restaurants for assistance with bringing video gaming to our community under restrictive guidelines imposed by the State and City to exercise as a home rule community; requests from shopping center strip owners dealing with long term vacancies generating no income for themselves or revenue to the City of Rolling Meadows; Chamber Board support as detailed in previous memorandums to the Council with noted criteria under economic stimulus/economic development. The Rolling Meadows Chamber continues to work on keeping

business economically viable including generating new revenue sources for the City that will not negatively impact the businesses, nor the residents. Our organization looks to the council for full support. We believe there can be a balance of new entertainment in the form of video gaming with imposed restrictions without giving up the communities home town appeal and supporters this evening are respected local business owners and leaders, longtime residents, well intentioned neighbors, and actively involved seniors who would prefer to spend their time, talent, money and leisure activities in Rolling Meadows rather than going to another community offering yet another venue opportunity that Rolling Meadows lacks as an entertainment option and revenue generator for the qualifying, independent businesses and the City. Thank you for the opportunity to bring video gaming research and data information for discussion and consideration that will hopefully result in the council's favorable approval this evening. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mrs. Ballantine. The next person up is Marc Mehlhop.

Marc Mehlhop: Hello, I hope all the people are for video gaming because I think it will bring more revenue into the town and help with the shortage of everything that we've got because then people will come spend money in the restaurants and throughout the town. That's all I have. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you for coming forward. Dale, I saw you in the background there.

Dale Engelking: Hi, good evening. I'm here in support of the video gaming also. Linda's just about said it all, but I know I said a couple of weeks ago when I was here that Elk Grove did away with their City stickers with the revenue that they got from the video gaming in their town. It's not something I made up. I read it in the newspaper, so there's another source of revenue that we can have in the town to maybe offset some of the costs of our City stickers and our Road Fund. If not eliminating it at least adding to it. So, and then too if we have video gaming who knows what else will want to come into town where we have it. As long as there's traffic, people will come. So it might benefit the downtown area if someone does come down there with video gaming and who knows. The sky's the limit when, Mt. Prospect just, they had an, IDOT didn't approve their road usage fund there. They have Texas Roadhouse that was going to go in there. If it doesn't go there maybe City management, City staff should look at reaching out to them. I know a lot of people would love to have a Roadhouse downtown Rolling Meadows. Thank you for your time.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you for coming forward.

Mayor Prejna closed the floor.

> PENDING

A) Ordinance No. 18-16 / Amend Code for Video Gaming (2nd Reading)

Mayor Prejna read the background on the ordinance.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to adopt the ordinance? Alderman D'Astice made the motion and it has been seconded. Is there any discussion? Ms. Majikes

Alderman Majikes: Thank you. I would just ask that we think about amending this so that we can, I'm in favor of it, but creating like a G1 classification for this so that we can combine it with the A1, A2, and

all that so that we can tailor make this to what we want. I took the time this weekend to do a little field trip with my husband and visit a few of these different video gaming sites and saw what is nice, what is not so appealing, and I think we really want to, we just don't want to open it up to anything and everything. We want it to have a little bit of control over, I know we have sign ordinances and things like that, so I think if we could maybe amend this to create a G1 and then we can tailor make it to what we're looking for. I think that would be best for the City. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: So you're asking, your motion is to amend this to add a G1 licensing to the video gaming?

Alderman Majikes: Correct

Mayor Prejna: Do we have a second? Mr......

Alderman Budmats: I have a question. Will people with A1 and A2 licenses then need to purchase a G1 license under this plan?

Mayor Prejna: I will, I'm going to go to Mr. Macholl.

Mr. Macholl: I think it's really up to creating a license that would be in keeping with this whole video gaming process so that we can actually I think kind of separate it out and create its own particular rules and regulations which currently wouldn't exist that we need. The ordinance which is currently drafted would allow video gaming in the A1, A2, and A3 liquor licenses. The A3 license as opposed to the A1 and A2 doesn't require a restaurant where the primary business is serving of food and there have been inquiries about what we call cafes where they'll serve breakfast, lunch, and hot and cold sandwiches and they're open to 2:00am. So that was the reason for this, as far as staff goes.

Mayor Prejna: Does that answer your question? Mr. Krumstok

Mr. Krumstok: I think what you're trying to ask is so if a person has an A1, or A2, or A3 at this point in time, so this new G1 that would be created at least for the first year if they're applying for it, we would utilize the money that they've already paid for that license and roll it into whatever we make for that new license. So, for instance, an A1 its \$4600.00 so hypothetically if we started a G1 and whatever that number, I'm just pulling it out of a hat, we haven't had conversations, let's say it would be \$5500.00, so we would say \$5500.00 minus the \$4600.00 because they've already paid for that first year, so I hope that's a quicker answer to what you're saying. That would be, hopefully, how we would tailor this that if you already paid for it for this year, then we would roll it so you would not have an additional amount, but that's how, at this point in time, I would look at it.

Alderman Budmats: So then the only establishments that would actually get this video gaming would have to have a G1 license.

Mayor Prejna: Correct.

Mr. Krumstok: That would be correct.

Mayor Prejna: So.

Mr. Macholl: We need a second to that motion.

Mayor Prejna: Yes?

Alderman Budmats: I'll second it.

Mayor Prejna: Alderman Budmats has seconded. Further discussion on the motion? Alderman Banger.

Alderman Banger: Thank you. I'm against this to begin with, but I'm surprised we're trying to complicate it by adding a new classification of license. Also if the point behind this, which we just heard, was to offer entertainment options and gin up money for the City, why would we try to limit it. So I'm surprised this is on the table because we just said we wanted to give residents this option to give them entertainment and we want to give this option to businesses to come into town, so why are we now restricting those businesses, which will limit the amount of money we can get and why are we saying one thing, we want residents to be able to entertain themselves by gambling and now we're putting restrictions on how they can entertain themselves. I'm surprised at the motion after we had the discussion about gambling in the first place. Like I said I'm just asking these questions out of curiosity. I'm not going to support either the amendment or the ordinance itself. Thanks.

Mayor Prejna: Want to further clarify what we, or would you like.....

Mr. Krumstok: Sure, I think that Alderman Banger, I think the answer to that is to tailor, again A1, A2, A3 have their own feel right now, but if you make a G1 it allows us to tailor and refine how it's actually created and I think it also with having a liquor license it would also allow us to state, even though we have sign ordinances and we have sign requirements, it would also allow us to make sure that if you're going for this G1 now you also have to live with these other items. So, right now when you have an A1, A2, and A3 it has its own feel. So let's give the example right now, Bigsby A3, so hypothetically if they were going to be coming in we know than they have signage that they have, but this would restrict it and as you look as some of the video gaming places out there, some of the cafes, they're windows are pretty much filled with signage. Even though we have an ordinance that talks about signage, this would be another avenue if you want this G1 here's another avenue that we're trying to make sure it doesn't have that big video gaming out there. It doesn't have all the signs created. It allows the municipality as a home rule community to actually make sure some of those, so we are not restricting. We're just carving out and making sure that we're comfortable with what's actually in there and it gives some of that platform for the City to make sure that the feel of the City stays the same. I would make the comment if you haven't been to Buffalo Grove and Wheeling, it's very hard to know which ones are video gaming establishments because they've made sure that with the liquor license and with the gaming it's very limited to knowing. You really have to know before you walk in there. So I hope that answers some of your, so we're not restricting. It's just carving out and making sure.

Mayor Prejna: Mr. D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, so I understand what you said. Let's take it to the next step. Right now there is no such thing as a G1.

Mt. Krumstok: That's correct.

Alderman D'Astice: So, we would pass this ordinance this evening as it stands and then at a future meeting staff would come to council with their recommendations of what should be in the G1.

Mr. Krumstok: That is correct. And if the timing would work hopefully by the May 8th meeting we would have first reading and then if the council wants to have more further discussion we could kick it on to the COW meeting and then 2nd reading would be right after that.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, and then those businesses that currently have an A1, A2, or A3, you said in the first year whatever amount that they paid would be a credit against whatever the G1 might be. What about going beyond that? I guess what I do not want to see happen is someone who has an A1, 2, or 3 have to pay whatever amount is for that and then pay an additional amount of a G1. That's not where we're going with this?

Mr. Krumstok: We're trying not to double up on the amount so hypothetically seeing that we haven't tailored it, let's say hypothetically, and I don't know if this is the right way Jim, but let's say a person buys the A1 an then again that's \$4600.00, but to elevate or to go to that next stage if you want that video gaming, now for the G1 you might pay an additional amount, so that is an additional amount, but you're asking for a higher level of your, so you have your A1 plus the G1 and that would be your amount, so I think we can do it that way that if you're asking for the gambling, then we can create that other and then carve it out because you're asking for the extra part. Jim?

Mr. Macholl: Well I think another approach is that the ordinance is currently drafted and imposes a tax on the business owner and the operator and we work all that in. I don't know that, we haven't really talked about this G1 license very much. I'm not putting words into the City Manager's mouth. I don't know that you even have to charge any more for the G1, to be honest with you, because there's money coming in off the machines and off the video gaming license and if the City thinks that it needs to be increased then so be it. I don't know one way or the other.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, but I just want to at this point I agree with what Laura has said that we can put this G1 part in here, but and then we can pass this tonight and then at a future meeting we can, or even at a COW meeting, we can review whatever suggestions staff may have and then vote on those, or adopt those or amend those into the current ordinance.

Mr. Krumstok: That's correct and then we do have the video gaming ordinance passed.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, so I'm okay with that then so that we can talk about the details of G1 at a future meeting, whether its blacking out the windows or making sure that people don't double up on having to pay for licenses, how much it's going to be, etc. So I'm okay with that. I would support Laura.

Mayor Prejna: So this will move it forward tonight.

Alderman D'Astice: Correct.

Mayor Prejna: As if the motion is passed as amended. Mr. Macholl do you have a comment or no?

Mr. Macholl: Well, now I'm confused. We've got the motion on the table to amend to go to the G1 licenses.

Mayor Prejna: Right

Mr. Macholl: But we're going to pass ordinance. If we pass the ordinance then the video gaming under this ordinance is now allowed. And so tomorrow somebody could come in and apply for a license based

on this ordinance and then we're going to subsequently come back with a G1 license that we don't even really, at this point, really know what it's going to provide for. I'd rather do them in tandem so they're both done at the same time. I don't think it's going to hurt anything doing it that way.

Mayor Prejna: So you're recommendation is to pass the G1 license, but postpone the ordinance until the next meeting?

Mr. Macholl: My recommendation would be to ask the council to pass the motion to, it's really a motion to postpone, I think, more than a motion to amend because we don't know what we're amending it to. So, I think it's a motion to postpone it until May 8th at which time we can have an ordinance that will detail and provide for the G1 license. That's my....

Mr. Krumstok: The only thing is then you would have 1st Reading on the 8th without a 2nd Reading.

Mr. Macholl: Yeah, but currently we've got, you're going to pass an ordinance that is going to allow it but you have nothing to regulate it.

Mayor Prejna: Okay, Ms. Majikes since this is your motion out here.

Alderman Majikes: Thank you, and I don't bring this up to try to cause confusion and all that or deter anybody from coming to Meadows and stuff. I'd rather us be proactive as a council and tailor make this for our City and what we're all comfortable with as opposed to passing something and then having regrets that we weren't more proactive about it. So I'm sorry if I'm causing confusion or all that. I was just hoping that we'll be able to create the G1 in accordance with the A1 and A2, but I'm not the attorney.

Mayor Prejna: Okay, Mr. Cannon

Alderman Cannon: Thank you. I guess Barry, I guess I would ask a question. Let's assume for a second we don't pass this. We just don't do anything with the G1. Don't we have controls that we can handle a lot of these things right now? I mean we have some sign ordinances right now.

Mr. Krumstok: We do have, but again this allows us to tailor certain other items and with our current sign ordinance we do allow certain amounts of advertising on those windows and that's what I'm trying to say if you want that flexibility then you tailor it. And we can also go back to the sign ordinance too. We're just trying, there's one other things in the sign ordinance that we would actually want to clear up if we're actually looking at it. So, again, I understand what Jim Macholl is stating and you've heard me say this before. Ordinances can be passed and amended, so you can always pass this ordinance at this point in time and then we go through the G1, then amend it at that point in time and I understand what City Attorney Jim Macholl is also stating. I would hope if this gets postponed that potentially if we're here at May 8th maybe there's a waiver. I know we haven't done this in a long time, but maybe the waiver of 1st Reading so we can have them both passed at the same time and I know that it will entail some more discussion, but hopefully we can have those discussions during the 1st Reading and then obviously do the waiver so we can go straight to 2nd Reading so they would both be passed because, again, a lot of people on the dais we've spent a lot of time on video gaming. I know that you have actually gone to look at some of the establishments and I hope anybody who visits them sees the difference between well regulated, monitored, and also ones that are wide open and what they can advertise.

Alderman Cannon: Okay, but let me ask the sister question which we just talked about. So the biggest issue here, you think, is signage? I guess, Barry, here's where I'm coming from. I'll just make it simple. I'm not overly opposed to this, but we just keep adding layers, and layers of rules and regulations and all I'm saying is it really necessary? I feel like as a town we usually have control over most issues. Do we need to put another layer in? It just seems to be overkill in my opinion. It's my opinion only. I'm not asking everybody to agree with me, but it just seems like we're just layering another load of rules that we don't need to do.

Mr. Krumstok: I would put it that we have the flexibility to do this because, and again if you visit all the different locations, each one has its own different feel. Some of them are well maintained and again some are not, but I think in my eyes when I look at some of the video gaming that signage really is kind of appalling and we've been very fortunate at this point in time to not have some of those windows that it looks like as some residents have called Las Vegas. Trying to make sure that yes we have rules and everything else. It's just one more layer and I understand what you're saying that you don't think layer upon layer, but I think that's the way to protect the look, the feel, and make sure that the community has that overall and in no way, as I said before, to restrict because again I think Alderman Gallo has stated last meeting wide open. Let the free market, I'm putting words, but allow the free market to actually dictate how many cafes, how many restaurants are going to be open and see what happens, but in my estimation if I just look at some of the roads and some of the vacancies I only see 10 to 12 potentially that could open and that's counting two current restaurants that could potentially open up on their own.

Alderman Cannon: I guess the only last comment I'd make is I guess I would rather have us dive into the sign ordinance and straighten that out and it sounds like from your earlier comment that you feel like there might be some issues there. Why don't we clean up that ordinance?

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Cannon, that's a bite at the apple twice, so.

Alderman Cannon: I never stopped.

Mayor Prejna: I understand, but go ahead.

Alderman Cannon: I can't ask more than one question?

Mayor Prejna: Two times, but go ahead Mr. Cannon, finish up.

Alderman Cannon: I didn't know it was limited on questions. So that's all I'm suggesting. Again, I'm not, maybe people don't agree with me, but I just think I'd rather clean up what we need to clean up and not add another layer to the licenses for liquor is my opinion. Thanks.

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Gallo, sir. Thank you for your patience also.

Alderman Gallo: You're welcome. Thank you for calling on me. I guess for me I'd like a little bit of clarity, not only for myself but for the residents at home in my Ward, but the subject at hand about establishing a G1 to follow suit with this, what are those top fears or factors that we're trying to get ahead of or mitigate or alleviate. What are those? What are the fears that we have to establish this G1?

Mr. Macholl: Well, I would, from my perspective I wouldn't call them fears. It's just....

Alderman Gallo: Factors

Mr. Macholl: Factors

Alderman Gallo: What are the issues?

Mr. Macholl: It's just, the council and the staff didn't ask for any clear direction relative to this. It was just we're going to pass a video gaming ordinance. That's fine. Under the State Video Gaming Statute you need to have, amongst other things to make you eligible, you need a liquor license for the liquor to be sold for consumption on premises. Under our Code of Ordinances there are three licenses that do that. The A1, the A2, and the A3. If you read our liquor code the A1 and the A2 are what we've been calling sit down restaurants where the primary function of the business is the sale of meals. The A3 license, on the other hand, is more of a bar situation. There is no requirement that there be a sale of food, but they may have a light food service that's defined in our Code which consist of serving hot or cold sandwiches. Since there was no direction, and staff didn't ask for direction, it all came up pretty quick. The ordinance they have before you, the ordinance that I drafted, meets the requirements of the State Statutes, those three liquor licenses allow for consumption of alcohol on the premises. Now, if what you want is a small store that sells hot and cold sandwiches for breakfast and lunch, no dinner, but they're open until 2:00am, then that's potentially what you're looking for, you're looking at. If you want that, that's fine.

Alderman Gallo: My question was what are we afraid of that constitutes us now having to develop a G1. What are those fears, not what you just went through, but what are the fears that we're trying to get ahead of with a G1? What are those?

Mr. Macholl: I have not had a conversation with the City Manager specifically as to what he would be looking for to incorporate into the G1 license. I'm just speaking for myself and just giving you the background from where this ordinance came from.

Mayor Prejna: I would, the Chair would just add that since this came out I've had many conversations with our City Attorney, staff, members of the business community that are looking to come forward with this and many residents and the one thing that we have heard from residents over and over again is we would like restaurants. We would like Rolling Meadows to have activity that is more business activity, so I envision that I hear for doing this and along with we also have an ordinance in to have incentives for restaurants to open up is that we would like this to work so that we are bringing more establishments that would serve food and be more beneficial to the City so that the City would have not only video gaming, but they would also have the opportunity to catch food and liquor taxes from them, so that's just, I'm just adding that from my conversation. If you want to follow up and then Mr. D'Astice.

Mr. Krumstok: Alderman Gallo, I think that what your question is what else could be tailored in that G1, I think again, as Alderman Cannon said we could always go back to the sign, but I think it also allows the City Council with the liquor license to dictate inside the building itself. So in the gaming, State gaming, it talks about the differences. You have to make sure that people know that here's the gaming part, here's the restaurant part.

Alderman Gallo: Isn't that already, the parameters are already illustrated by the State, correct?

Mr. Krumstok: It is, but it just, part of that says, part of that says you can have a cord, you could have a little fence, does the City Council want a kind of wall that makes separation? Does something else, that's also going back into that G1 would allow us to deal with signs, would deal with the interior, all those kind of pieces, but that's some of the ideas at that point.

Mayor Prejna: Alderman D'Astice, you came forward

Alderman D'Astice: Thank you. We're talking about the debate is on the issue of the motion, the amendment of the G1. I think we've gotten a little off topic, but getting back to G1. We have an ordinance in place and we're talking about the possibility of making an amendment to that in the form of a G1. I think that if, I think it's imperative that we pass this ordinance tonight and then have staff come back to us in two weeks and give us what that amendment should be. I know that it opens up the opportunity for an establishment to come to the City and say I'd like to apply, but I think our Manager and obviously the Liquor Commissioner can say we're not taking any applications for two weeks and that resolves that potential issue of somebody's going to get in under the wire. I mean, I agree with what Joe is saying. What are we all worried about? I see there's some concerns, some questions, but that's really something that we should be talking about, so whether we incorporate the G1 now, or Mrs. Majikes takes it out, we pass the ordinance, and it comes back in two weeks and we add an amendment of G1 at that point. I think that would work too. I think right how we need to, we should move this forward and knowing that we won't take any applications for two weeks. We probably don't even have papers for people to sign an application yet and that could probably take us a week to do that alone, so I'm all for either agreeing and putting it in there, a G1 as an amendment yet to come, or if Ms. Majikes or Mr. Budmats prefer to withdraw, we pass the ordinance and staff goes under the direction that we're not going to take an application for two weeks and you come back with a recommendation of what we should, a recommendation of what the G1 should be and what restrictions we may want to place on it. Because right now we don't have, we don't know what the restrictions are. We've talked about signs, we've talked about ropes, we talked about a wall, so I think that all needs to be flushed out one way or another, whether it's at the next council meeting or whether it's at a Committee-of-the-Whole meeting, but I think we need to have that in writing, in front of us, so we can look at this and say yes we like these ideas, or no we don't like these ideas and then we agree on what it is that we want this to be. In the meantime we don't have to take applications and I don't think there's anyone beating our doors down other than we've had inquiries. We've had inquiries for the last two or three years. I think whatever way Ms. Majikes and Mr. Budmats want to go I'm all for it. Let's just move this thing along and put the onus on staff to come back to us with their recommendations of restrictions they feel are required.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mr. D'Astice. Mr. Macholl

Mr. Macholl: To Mr. D'Astice's point, as far as I know we currently have no available Class A1, Class A2, Class A3 licenses available.

Ms. Cotugno: Correct

Mr. Macholl: So if a new entity wanted to come into town there would have to be an ordinance before the City Council increasing the number of license and currently from what I understand there's only one A3 license in town?

Ms. Cotugno: Correct

M<r. Macholl: So the rest would be A1 and A2 licenses which I don't think absent signage or other issues, I don't think staff really has a problem with.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you. Ms. Majikes, since this is your amended ordinance, you still want to go forward and I think we can make this work tonight and start the clock on it.

Alderman Majikes: Yeah, I don't want to hold this up. I didn't mean to cause this big problem. Like I said I just feel that it's important for us, so I'm not going to hold this up. If I don't have the support for the G1 and stuff I would hope that we could at least get the information as Alderman D'Astice stated and that it's bought forward to us in the next two weeks or something so we can get the clarification of it all. I would ask the council members to when you get a free moment kind of visit a couple of these sites so you can see actually what I'm talking about. So you can see the difference so you are aware of it because there's a big difference when you go into two different types of establishments.

Mayor Prejna: So we'll move forward with the vote on the G1. I will remind council that tonight, since we only have six members we need four to pass any ordinance tonight, so since we're done with discussion will the Clerk call the roll on the amendment to the G1 license to the video ordinance gambling amendment.

AYES: Budmats, Majikes, D'Astice NAYS: Cannon, Gallo, Banger

ABSENT: Veenbaas

Mayor Prejna: Its 3 to 3 so it fails. This brings us back to the original ordinance. Is there any discussion on the original ordinance? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, D'Astice

NAYS: Banger ABSENT: Veenbaas

The ordinance is adopted.

B) Ordinance No. 18-17 / Amend Code for Natural Gas Tax (2nd Reading)

Mayor Prejna read the background on the ordinance.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to adopt the ordinance? Alderman Banger made the motion and it has been seconded by Alderman Gallo. Is there any discussion? Mr. D'Astice, I apologize

Alderman D'Astice: That's quite all right. Because there's going to be some residents that will buy new vehicles or move into Rolling Meadows after June 30th, the June 30th due date to purchase stickers and prior to the current termination date of the sticker tax of December 31st, I don't believe that its fair for those residents to have to buy a one year vehicle sticker for a period of months for their car, truck, motorcycle, or trailer, and still have to pay a Natural Gas Tax. Similar to the amendment Mr. Budmats offered a couple of weeks ago, I'd like to propose a compromise amendment. My amendment is upon notification to the City of Rolling Meadows of the commencement of the collection of the new Natural Gas Tax, the City of Rolling Meadows City Sticker Tax will immediately be terminated. No further enforcement of the City Sticker Tax will take place after the collection of the new Natural Gas Tax begins. This, I think, is a fair way of allowing new residents and those who are buying vehicles after the collection starts to say you don't have to buy a sticker for a period of a couple of months. There's no refunds allowed. There's nothing else, it just says once the City's notified that the new tax is in effect, the City Sticker Tax is terminated. Whether that's October or November or whenever it is, I think it's just a fair way of treating the residents of the City.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you. Is there a second to that?

Alderman Budmats: I'll second that.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mr. Budmats. It has been seconded. Is there discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

Mayor Prejna: Six in favor, that does pass. This brings us back to the ordinance ordinance. Is there any further discussion on that ordinance? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll? I'm sorry, Mr. Cannon?

Alderman Cannon: Thank you. I was wondering if I could direct a question to Mr. Krumstok. I was wondering, in all the write ups that we've been getting all these months, we keep on talking about we have expenses of \$100,000 relative to City stickers. As we as a council and the City itself, can we expect to see any kind of reduction in either staff or whatever because that \$100,000 is not going to be needed to be used for all kinds of things, including administration?

Mr. Krumstok: Not on this subject.

Alderman Cannon: I'm talking about in general. If we have \$100,000 in costs, I'm assuming 50% of it has to be administration right?

Mr. Krumstok: No, its production. It's mailing. A whole bunch of other things.

Alderman Cannon: But who does all that though?

Mr. Krumstok: Production, we send that out. That's a third party who does it, the stuffing. The mailing we use the United States Post Office, so again that's all when you look in the budget those are technically not labor issues. Those are third parties and other items.

Alderman Cannon: How about the people who actually process the stuff for the month of June that we always are told that they're overwhelmed. Aren't there two or three people doing that all day long?

Mr. Krumstok: That with their other regular duties plus administration is helping on that, but its everybody doing their regular stuff and this additional.

Alderman Cannon: Okay, thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you. Any further discussion? Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger

NAYS: Cannon, D'Astice ABSENT: Veenbaas

Mayor Prejna: Four in favor and two opposed, the ordinance is adopted.

C) Ordinance No. 18-18 / Amend Zoning Code for Side Yard Setbacks (2nd Reading)

Mayor Prejna read the background on the ordinance.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to adopt the ordinance? Alderman Majikes made the motion and it has been seconded. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The Ordinance was adopted.

D) Ordinance No. 18-19 / Amend Code for Restaurant Incentive (2nd Reading)

Mayor Prejna read the background on the ordinance.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to adopt the ordinance? Alderman D'Astice made the motion and it has been seconded by Alderman Majikes. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll? I'm sorry John.

Alderman D'Astice: That's quite all right. This has been a long time coming. We started, we came up with an idea many months ago. The Economic Development Committee reviewed this and discussed this for, again, several months. I am very much in favor of this. I think it allows us, it gives us another tool, or another arrow in our quiver as they say, to hopefully entice some new restaurants to come into the City. We've got space available and I think that our staff and Martha would probably be able to use this when they're talking to restaurateurs. I mean, all things being equal as one restauranteur told me if I can save some money and everything else is the same, I'll take the deal. So I'm very much in favor of this and hopefully the council will support this this evening.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mr. D'Astice. Mr. Cannon, sir.

Alderman Cannon: Thank you Mr. Mayor. I will be supporting this also. I'm disappointed the way it's been structured. I compliment that we got something going. I think it misses the mark in some cases. I've expressed my opinion in the past. I won't dwell on it tonight, but I will be supporting it, but I wish we had done it in a different way. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mr. Cannon. Any further, Mr. Banger

Alderman Banger: Thank you. I've been fairly consistent with not supporting this so just an FYI, I'm a no. Our conversation earlier this evening about allowing gambling in town also raises the question in my mind, at least, if we're going to be giving rates back to restaurants or business that come in with gaming machines that are apparently going to be the salvation of some of the restaurants in areas of this town, why would we rebate the money if they're making tons of money off these gaming machines. That's just a question of mine that was bought up earlier tonight by our conversation about these gaming machines. So, I'll still be a no though. Thank you.

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Krumstok would you like to comment?

Mr. Krumstok: Sure, the cafes, if they do not have a full sit down restaurant, they would not be entitled to this. So again how the restaurant incentive is really for restaurants where it's a family/sit down overall. So it really has to be a restaurant who's coming in with the secondary to your question. I hope that answers it a little bit.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you Mr. Krumstok. Any further discussion? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, D'Astice

NAYS: Gallo, Banger ABSENT: Veenbaas

Mayor Prejna: Four in favor and two opposed, the ordinance is adopted.

CONSENT ORDINANCES:

E) Ordinance / Amend Code to Establish Parking Restrictions on Certain Streets (1st Reading)

Mayor Prejna read the background on the ordinance.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to move this ordinance forward for 2nd Reading? Alderman Banger made the motion and it was seconded. Is there any discussion? Yes Mr. Cannon

Alderman Cannon: Thank you Mr. Mayor. I guess I would just ask staff when we, I assume we'll pass this. I would just ask because of personal experience I've had in my neighborhood, that we make the no parking signs larger. People don't seem to see them. I don't know why. I have one right in front of my house. People get out, look at it, leave their car there anyhow. So I would just ask that maybe we make them a little larger if it's possible since we have our own sign equipment now. Thanks.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you. Any further discussion? Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The Ordinance was moved forward for 2nd Reading.

> NEW BUSINESS:

F) MOTION TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF BILLS ON WARRANT 4-24-18.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to approve the April 24, 2018 Warrant as presented by the Finance Department? Alderman Majikes made the motion and it has been seconded. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The warrant was approved.

> CONSENT RESOLUTIONS:

- G) Resolution No. 18-R-30 / Approve Local Public Agency Agreement for Federal Participation with Illinois Department of Transportation for Proposed Euclid Avenue (Rohlwing Road to Salt Creek) Bikepath Project (Construction and Construction Engineering Costs) (Postponed at the April 10, 2018 Council Meeting)
- H) Resolution No. 18-R-31 / Award Contract for Construction Engineering Services for Euclid Avenue Bike Path Project (Postponed at the April 10, 2018 Council Meeting)
- I) Resolution No. 18-R-34 / Approve Amendment to Natures Care Outreach Coordination Agreement with NCC, LLC
- J) Resolution No. 18-R-35 / Award Contract for Pavement Patching
- K) Resolution No. 18-R-36 / Award Contract for Thermoplastic Pavement Striping
- L) Resolution No. 18-R-37 / Award Contract for Sanitary Manhole Inspections
- M) Resolution No. 18-R-38 / Award Contract for Lining of Sanitary Sewers
- N) Resolution No. 18-R-39 / Award Contract for Emergency Storm Sewer Repairs on Campbell Street

Mayor Prejna: That brings the council to the consent resolutions for this evening. There are eight items that could be grouped together in a Consent Agenda if the council so wishes. They are Items G through N. Would any alderman like any of those items removed from the Consent Agenda? Alderman D'Astice?

Alderman D'Astice: N

Mayor Prejna: The Chairs declares that there will be seven items to be considered in one motion without debate. Is there such a motion? Alderman Banger made the motion and it has been seconded. The question is shall all seven resolutions be adopted.

Mayor Prejna read the background on the resolutions.

Mayor Prejna: Again, the question is shall all seven of these resolutions be adopted. The Clerk will please call the roll.

AYES: Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: Cannon ABSENT: Veenbaas

The resolutions were adopted.

N) Resolution No. 18-R-39 / Award Contract for Emergency Storm Sewer Repairs on Campbell Street

Mayor Prejna read the background on the resolution.

Mayor Prejna: Is there a motion to adopt the resolution? Alderman Banger made the motion and it has been seconded. Mr. D'Astice, you asked that this be pulled. You have first opportunity.

Alderman D'Astice: Thank you. Several questions here. Does the pipe that's being removed, what kind of a pipe is it and how does, what kind of water flows through there?

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Vogt

Mr. Vogt: Thank you Mayor. Storm water pipe, discharges ultimately to Salt Creek, was built to the best of our estimation back in the 1950's. It was non-reinforced concrete pipe. We've seen in several locations, typically one or two a year where ground settlement is usually the indication or a wash out because the pipe starts to collapse.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay and I'm reading here that the budget, we have \$125,000 in that Storm Water Fund this year? Is that correct or am I?

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Vogt

Mr. Vogt: Correct

Alderman D'Astice: And are there other plans? I know over the past several years we have done work along the creek and out on the west side. Do we have further plans on expending these funds this year to finish some of those storm water projects as well?

Mr. Vogt: Yes, we have identified failing pipe but not necessarily collapsed as this one was. We can schedule that work. We also are in I believe two cycles of the what we call the flared end sections or the pipe discharges to Salt Creek that we have contracted for. There's more coming up and those will all be reflected in the Capital Improvement plans that we review annually and submit and update annually.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, and further to that what about non pipe, what about areas along the creek that we had done some gabion baskets and didn't we do some ponds on the other side that we were shoring up the shores and that? Do we have anything planned for storm water management for that as well?

Mr. Vogt: We have nothing immediately planned for that. We do have some areas down near Algonquin Road. Some to those areas that we hope to, at least some I would say minor or some interim improvements. What the City Council has done for probably about 20 years now is seek IEPA grants for most of the work that we've done over the years in terms of gabion baskets, streambank stabilization. Those funds are becoming harder and harder to get, but I do recall our City Engineer did an assessment probably about 5-6 years ago, I believe, to update the previous one. We completed Phase IV as we call the Salt Creek Streambank Project just upstream from Barker Avenue about 3 years ago, so we will certainly be assessing that in the future years and seeing what the conditions are. I have not personally received any complaints from residents anywhere along Salt Creek recently in terms of immediate need, but we do if not every year, every other year send staff and/or the engineers out to assess those conditions.

Alderman D'Astice: Okay, I don't want to get off topic....

Mayor Prejna: I think we're off topic because you asked a couple different questions. I think we need to get back on the vote. Thank you Mr. D'Astice

Alderman D'Astice: So, with respect to the \$11,000 then I'm okay with that.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you. Any further discussion? Will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The resolution was adopted.

OTHER BUSINESS & REPORTS:

Mayor's Appointments: Boards & Commissions

Mayor Prejna: Tonight I do have Boards & Commissions appointments. I have spoken with members of the Council and just so the viewing public, we're doing reappointments for the Board of Fire & Police Commission, Mr. Ted Loesch; the Police Pension Board we have someone stepping down and Shawna Brauer has graciously accepted to become a member of the Police Pension Board; the Urban Affairs Commission will be headed by Mike Hutchins; Economic Development Committee will be John Sokolowski and Laura Majikes; the Electrical Commission will be Scot Puleo and Steve Rybarczyk and Terry Valentino. Is there a motion to approve the appointment/reappointments? Alderman Banger has made the motion and it has been seconded. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, will the Clerk please call the roll?

AYES: Cannon, Budmats, Majikes, Gallo, Banger, D'Astice

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Veenbaas

The appointment/reappointments have been approved.

Mayor's Proclamations: 1) Robert Newell Retirement – read this under "Motion to Deviate"

City Clerk's Report: None

City Staff Reports:

1) Community Items of Interest

- 1) Thank you to everyone who attending this year's Earth Day activities that took place on Saturday, April 21st.
- 2) Rolling Meadows Business & Community Showcase is scheduled at the Rolling Meadows High School (2901 Central Road) this Saturday (Saturday, April 28th). The event is a way for local businesses to connect to residents and other professionals in Rolling Meadows. Please plan on attending.

- 3) Saturday, April 28th from 10:00 am to 2:00 pm the Police Department will be conducting with the DEA a Drug-Take-Back Event in the City Hall Parking Lot.
- 4) Just as a friendly reminder, yard waste collection and street sweeping has started throughout the City. For more information, please contact Public Works at 847-963-0500 or visit www.cityrm.org/PublicWorks
- Rolling Meadows High School (Township High School District 214) will be conducting a "Preview Night" on Wednesday, May 9th at the RMHS Theater beginning at 7:00 pm. This event is scheduled so residents can hear about several construction projects that are planned for the High School as they renovate, repair, and create an addition. If you have any questions about the Preview Night event, please contact Rolling Meadows High School Principal Eileen Hart at 847-718-5610.
- Pancake Breakfast Saturday, May 12th 8:00 am to 11:00 am. Fire Chief Valentino and some members of the Rolling Meadows Fire Department will be doing the cooking for The Rolling Meadows Golden Years Council. The location for this event is at Park Central (3000 Central Road). There is a fee for this event.
- 7) The Garden Club's Hometown Plant & Craft Sale is Saturday, May 12th 9:00 am to 2:00 pm Public Works Building located at 3200 Central Road (William D. Ahrens Building).
- 8) The Historical Museum (3100 Central) will also be having an open house on Saturday, May 12th during the Plant and Craft Sale.
- The 16th Annual Dunkin Donuts Cop on Rooftop promotion will take place on Friday, May 18th from 5:00 am to 2:00 pm at the Dunkin Donuts located at 3350 Kirchoff Road. During this fundraiser, local Rolling Meadows Police Officers will be on the rooftop to heighten awareness and raise money for the Law Enforcement Torch Run for Special Olympics Illinois. Customers who make a donation will receive a coupon for a free donut (*while supplies last*). For a \$5.00 donation a customer can receive a lapel pin (*while supplies last*). For every \$10.00 donation received, the donor can obtain a Torch Run/Dunkin Donuts stainless steel coffee mug (*while supplies last*) and a coupon for a free coffee. For a \$15.00 donation a customer can receive a T-Shirt (*while supplies last*). We hope that you will be able to attend this promotion on Friday, May 18th and support Cop on a Rooftop that heightens awareness and raises money for the Law Enforcement Torch Run for Special Olympics Illinois. If you have any questions or would like to make a donation, please contact Detective Katsenios at 847-870-2693.
- 10) Have you seen the April electronic "News & Views" or "e-News & Views?" If not, visit, www.cityrm.org and click on News & Views tab. This is the sister publication to the printed newsletter. Reminder that residents will continue to receive printed versions of "News & Views" in March, May, July, September and November. Future "e-News & Views" will be loaded onto the City website June, August, October and December.
- 11) Future topics at May Committee meetings:

Economic Development (*Tuesday, May 1st at 6:00 pm in the Council Chambers*): tentative items for discussion consist of: 1) new business feedback and contact distribution; 2) business and

community showcase update (April 28th event); 3) restaurant incentive update; 4) business advocate highlight; 5) video gaming update; 6) items of interest; 7) Chamber of Commerce Update; and 8) other items of interest in the City.

Planning and Zoning Commission: (*Tuesday, May 1st at 7:30 pm in the Council Chambers*): will be meeting to conduct some administrative items and discussions. No hearings.

The Rolling Meadows Park District, the Rolling Meadows Police Department, the Illinois Triad and Salt Group, and our new business obviously Village Bank and Trust which is the Wintrust family, on Wednesday, May 16th between 10 am and 2 p.m. at the Rolling Meadows Senior Center they will be doing a presentation called "Savvy Seniors". I wish I had more about it, but I would tell you we'll try getting you more stuff, but there is limited seating available so please contact the Rolling Meadows Park District Senior Center office if you'd like to participate in this event that is scheduled by the groups that I just mentioned.

Mayor Prejna: Mr. Krumstok, could you repeat the date and the time for that meeting?

Mr. Krumstok: Savvy Senior event is for Wednesday, May 16th, 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. It is going to be held at the Rolling Meadows Senior Center and again that's 3705 Pheasant Drive, but to sign up because they do have limited seating you do need to go to the Park District Senior Center office.

Mayor Prejna: Thank you.

2) New Businesses – March 2018

Company Name	Address	RM or PAL	Contact Name	Phone #	Type of Business	Home Occupation
Chicago Central	811 Rohlwing	RM	Myroscav	773-817-3787	Truck Repair Shop	No
Group	Road		Ruptash			
Schaeflein Consulting	2102 Kirchoff	RM	Paul	708-917-4100	Technology Consulting	Yes
LLC.	Road		Schaeflein		Company	

3) Staff Traffic Advisory Report – April 2018

Mr. Vogt: The monthly meeting of the Traffic Review Committee was held on Wednesday, April 11, 2018. Information is provided on the following discussion topics for the Mayor and City Council:

- 1. Squibb Avenue/Ring Road/Apollo Drive area.
 - a. The next round of traffic counts will be scheduled to be performed by the City Engineer in May, 2018.
 - b. <u>Squibb Avenue</u> was designed, upon its extension and reconstruction, to function with one through lane in each direction and to prohibit parking on both sides of the street. An ordinance needs to be prepared and approved for enforcement. Discussion noted that, although there have been "No Parking" signs posted along <u>Apollo Drive</u> previously, there is nothing in the Municipal Code of Ordinances to enforce the prohibition. <u>Ring Road</u>, constructed and functioning as a four-lane roadway, has apparently never been signed to

prohibit parking, nor does it appear in the Code of Ordinances. For these reasons, the Traffic Review Committee recommends that all three (3) roadways have on-street parking prohibited in their entirety.

- 2. Kieth Court resident parking survey.
 - a. Mailing was made on April 3. Responses will be discussed at the May, 2018 Traffic Review Committee.
- 3. Arbor Drive curve safety.
 - a. The Police Department provided accident history data for reported vehicle crashes and for reports of property damage at this location, especially involving the private property fence. Although some of the incidents appear to be weather related, there has been a significant enough numbers of incidents to have the Traffic Review Committee recommend that, upon future resurfacing or reconstruction of Arbor Drive, that the curve "super elevation" be raised to attempt to keep more vehicles from leaving the roadway.
- 4. Rohlwing/Fairfax intersection resident concern about vehicles exiting from apartment complex directly into the intersection.
 - a. A suggestion was made to change the subject driveway from its current "one-way outbound" to "one-way inbound" into the apartment complex driveway. The Traffic Review Committee concurred with this suggestion. Staff is working to make contact with the apartment complex to coordinate necessary pavement striping and signage changes.

The next meeting of the Traffic Review Committee is scheduled for Wednesday, May 2, 2018 at 2:30 pm.

4) Business & Community Showcase

Ms. Corner: City Staff is continuing to coordinate the first ever City of Rolling Meadows Business & Community Showcase, to be held on Saturday, April 28 at the Rolling Meadows High School. The event, open to the public, free of charge, runs from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m. (2901 Central Road) There will be a closed business-to-business networking hour for all business and community groups participating that will run from 10 a.m. to 11 a.m.

The Showcase is set-up so local businesses can network and show their services and products to residents and other leaders. This is a great way to shop and learn about Rolling Meadows businesses in a relaxed environment.

- 1) <u>Showcase Exhibitors</u>: To date, over 35 businesses or community groups are registered to host displays (if you are a Rolling Meadows business owner, we still have room if you want to participate):
 - A3 Promos
 - American Total Home Comfort
 - Anytime Fitness
 - Arlington Dermatology
 - Avenue Mortgage
 - Buona Beef
 - City of Rolling Meadows
 - City of Rolling Meadows Fire Department

City Council Meeting April 24, 2018

Page 21

- City of Rolling Meadows Police Department
- Comcast
- Complete Home Inspection Services
- Divine Design & Marketing, Inc.
- FAR Insights Group
- Illinois Grappling Club
- Infragilis Fitness
- Joelle's Hallmark
- Joint Emergency Management System (JEMS)
- Luxury Auto Imports
- Meadows Christian Fellowship
- Motel 6
- MW Recyclers, Inc.
- Northwest Special Recreation Association (NWSRA)
- Northwest Suburban College
- Plum Creek Supportive Living
- Rep's Place Family Style Sports Bar & Grill
- Rolling Meadows Chamber of Commerce
- Holiday Inn Rolling Meadows
- Rolling Meadows Park District
- Rolling Meadows Public Library
- SafeScreener.com
- S.A.L.T. (Seniors & Law Enforcement Together)
- Scenter of the Mind
- The UPS Store
- Tom Thompson the Handyman
- Unbreakable Ink
- Village Bank & Trust
- 2) <u>Additional Showcase Attractions:</u> To further enhance the Showcase experience, businesses will be free to give out various items including samples, pens, coupons, calendars, etc. The City will also have special giveaway items. The following activities will take place during the event:
 - Performance Carl Sandburg Junior High School Orchestra
 - Licensed raffle Rolling Meadows Chamber of Commerce
 - Live music throughout the day by Josh Patterson
- 3 <u>Community Events Foundation (CEF) Volunteer Support</u>: Thanks to the generous donation of time by the volunteers of the CEF, the Showcase will include exhibitor support and other needed activities by the volunteers during the Showcase event.
- 4 **Showcase Marketing:** In general, staff is working to conduct marketing before, during and after the Showcase event through the following marketing channels:
 - City electric sign (Kirchoff Road at City Hall)
 - City Facebook page
 - City website
 - City newsletters (News & Views, Business Messenger)

City Council Meeting April 24, 2018

Page 22

- Daily Herald print and internet advertising
- Daily Herald community events calendar
- Rolling Meadows Chamber of Commerce briefings
- Rolling Meadows church bulletins
- S.R. 53 electronic billboards

MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA:

Mayor Prejna: Are there matters not on the agenda? Seeing none, do I have a motion to adjourn? Alderman Majikes has made the motion and it has been seconded. All in favor? Opposed? The ayes have it and we are adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, by unanimous consent the meeting adjourned.

City Council meeting of April 24, 2018 adjourned at 8:49 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by: Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk

April 24, 2018 Council Minutes Approved by Council on May 8, 2018.

Ginny Cotugno, Deputy City Clerk